



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_6140
Title of the Manuscript:	The applications of analytic signal method in archaeological investigations of part of Lejja pre-historic site, south-eastern Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	This is an excellent well written paper and does not need revisions. It is, well supported in arguments and supported by very good figures and up-dated references. The only "mistake" that I found is the reference concerning "Bahn, 2003" in the line 27 of the text and "Bann" in line 241 in the references section.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hugo G. Nami
Department, University & Country	Institute of Geophysics "Daniel A. Valencio", Department of Geological Sciences, Physical and Natural Sciences, University of Buenos Aires, Argentina.