



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_10546
Title of the Manuscript:	TRIZ: a catalyst methodfor an innovative Eco design
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Some descriptions are inexplicable or repeated. For example, in the line 146, the word "aircraft" is inexplicable. And the line 174 and line 166 are repeated. The problems like the above should be revised or explained.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1) Some figures are not standardized, such as Figure 4. 2) The names of some tables don't explicitly express the meaning of the tables, such as Table 5 and Table 6. 3) The abstract just states the works of other researchers, there are no analysis and summary.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1) Language needs to be improved, there are multiple syntax problems. 2) The format and font of many charts and tables are not standardized.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Junhao Geng
Department, University & Country	School of mechanical engineering, Northwestern Polytechnical University , China