



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_13003
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of a chewing bench for testing dental materials: first stage
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This is very nice original paper with the exceptions described below: 1. Correct the vocabulary and grammar 2. Authors confused the descriptions of Figure 3 and Figure 4. 3. Describe what kind of teeth has been used in simulator (material, size, shape, manufacturer) 4. Authors should describe the selected type of static and dynamic occlusion 5. Authors should describe more precisely the engineering aspect of the simulator e.g. construction project and applied materials. I recommend to attach the professional construction project as a figure 6. Conclusions should be a separate section.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	I suggest to change a title of the paper to: Development of a chewing simulator for testing dental materials: a pilot study	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I suggest accepting this manuscript to publish after major changes.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mieszko Wieckiewicz
Department, University & Country	Division of Dental Materials, Wrocław Medical University, Poland