

REVIEWERS COMMENT

1. General Comments:

- The author(s) should completely read the manuscript to make sure that all language and typographical mistakes are corrected.
- Only one type of English (British English or American English) should be used.
- do not use too long sentences or combine the sentences, at times some sentences are too simple ,use the standard format to deliver the message.
- main headings can be in capital letters.eg: INTRODUCTION.
- do not use so many paragraphs, for that also use a standard format, like when dealing with a new subject or new matter only.
- Authors names and years should be used in the text wherever it is necessary e.g. Line 43, According to (3) -----

2. Specific Comments:

2.1: TITLE: The protective effects of combined leaf or (leaves) extracts of *Gongronema latifolium* and *Nauclea latifolia* on acctaminophen- induced hepatotoxicity in rats.

2.2 ABSTRACT: objective should be changed to: Objectives: effect to effects: leave to leaf-- - were studied (lines 17-18) make the changes.

Line- 23-25 sentence is too long, try to split it.

Line- 31 extracts have –delete may

2.3: INTRODUCTION:

Line -42 –healing –complete the sentence. Line- 52 –check the family name (Asclepiadaceae)

Line -59- the leaves are also used for the---, line 65,66 combine. Line-69 –restructure the sentence. Line- 83 –ethanolic extracts-

No need of so many paragraphs, combine some of them. Try to add more reasons to support your justification to conduct this research.

2.4 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.4.1 Drug should be replaced by chemicals.

2.4.2 Line -98- includes the voucher No: if any, all the methods used, indicate the authors name and year and rephrase the sentences.

2.4.3 Experimental design: this part is slightly mixed up. Use the correct labeling, indicate it properly in the text, like NC-Normal control, and use the same throughout.

Group II (intoxicated group) received orally a single dose of acetaminophen (200mg/kg, bw, p.o.) diluted with sucrose solution (40% w/v). **Is this enough to induce the hepatotoxicity?**

There was no indication of the treatment for other groups like daily or any other. Specify.

Line 120-123- check the spacing

2.4.4 For Biochemical analysis which methods were followed when using the kits? Specify.

2.4.5 Histological preparations need more elaborations and citation.

Do the labeling of the groups properly. Restructure the experimental design with proper groups.

2.5. RESULTS

2.5.1 In phytochemical investigations briefly explain the findings.

2.5.2 Biochemical estimations: it is very difficult to identify your labeling of the groups used.

Line 160-164 –rephrase the sentences with proper group labels.

Take care: according to your experimental design there were only six groups but when the tables were presented it has 10 groups. There are some serious errors in the result section.

This can be corrected. Did you subdivide the combined treatment groups and Silymarin treated groups? Then indicate that in the experimental design and label them properly.

2.6 DISCUSSION

Line -185-188-rephrase that sentence

Line 228-230- check whether it prevented the metabolism -----or scavenging the free radicals produced.

Line 241-259 – histopathological examination is not corresponding to the labels used in the figures.

Fig 1-use the higher magnification

In all the figures used it is indicated hepatotoxic and nonhepatotoxic- this is not explained anywhere.

Correct the labeling, use the proper labels as per the original design in the experimental design. Then explain these results fully in the discussion. Use a standard magnification for all the micrographs, possibly the highest. Many of these figures are not showing the details explained in the legend.

2.7 REFERENCES.

Use the scientific names in italics, and a standard format recommended by the publisher

E.g. line 277 –the name of the journal is in italics but many others are not.

Author should check to make sure that all the references cited in the text are properly listed at the Reference section, and that all the references listed at the reference section are properly cited in the text.

Rewrite the references using the prescribed format.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The paper has much valuable information which can be useful to the community and alternative medicine. They used many references from old to the latest which could help and support the findings.

The paper can be accepted for publication only after: clarification of the results, proper editing and corrections as mentioned above.