SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJAST_12497**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Evaluation Studies for Shore Protection Design**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1. Numerical model 'SMS' should be given the necessary description and references. In the present study, how does the model is used, such as the mesh type, mesh number and convergent test?** **2. Field measurements should be described in a single paragraph.**	
Minor REVISION comments	Legends in the figures are not clear. On the second paragraph of abstract, "is" should be supplemented between "beach" and "designed" On the third paragraph of abstract, "save" should be replaced by "safe". It is advised to check the similar errors carefully	
Optional/General comments	This study is significant for shore protection design and deserves to be published in BJAST after the requested revisions.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer