SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_BJAST_8279**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Estimation of environmental chemical pollution of Al-Baiji Oil Refinery in Iraq**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1. Key word chemical pollution is not included neither in the abstract or in the main script.** **2. Page no. 8& 9 detail text should be immediately below the table.** **3. Results & discussion are not included , this very important. Unless the goal of the research cannot be attained.** **4. Some of the references listed in the reference list are not mentioned anywhere in the main research paper. If these are not related with your work better delete these references.** **5. English very poor it has to be improved a lot.**	
Minor REVISION comments	**1. Page 12: Malia Raj et al in the reference the font size is too large.** **2. Page no. 4: Manual directions of Manufacturers, Industrial scientific corporation,2003, name of the author is not mentioned.**	
Optional/General comments	**1. Page no. 5: Last three lines are of large font size reduce it to the normal size.** **2. Page no. 6: Table should be immediately below the subtitle.** 3. **Remove the extra spacing between the paragraphs.**	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer