



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_10747
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimization of Solvent Extraction of <i>Parinari polyandra</i> Benth Seed Oil Using Response Surface Methodology
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Technical details: Equations 1-3 and Table 3 should be re-written, because they appear in the text in incorrect way. Each figure in the Figure 2 has different size; this should be uniformed. Scientific details: The manuscript follows the journal guidelines. The procedure and the results are well described and discussed. I recommend its publishing after minor revision reflecting technical details.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Institute of Experimental Botany of the AS CR, v.v.i., Isotope Laboratory, Czech Republic