

Coag-flocculation approach to removal of colloidal particles from brewery waste water using okra seed extract.

Abstract

This work explores the potential for an effective use of Okra seed extract as an efficient bio coagulant for treatment of brewery effluent. The study was carried out using nephelometric jar test. The perikinet data generated were fitted to specific models for the determination of reaction order, rate constant, period etc. The maximum coag-flocculation performance is recorded at rate constant, K_m of 3.6×10^{-3} L/mg.min, dosage of 100mg/L; pH of 6 and coagulation period of 0.38min while the minimum is recorded at K_m of 2.95×10^{-5} L/mg.min, dosage of 500mg/L, pH of 10 and period of 93min. The optimum efficiency recorded at 88.31% for 30 minutes shows that the system is perikinet controlled. The results indicate that okra extract can serve as a potential coagulant for the treatment of brewery effluent.

Keywords: Okra seed, Brewery Effluent, Coag-flocculation, colloidal particles, Perikinetics

1 INTRODUCTION

There has been an increasing demand of water usage in brewery industry, as a result, a large volume of wastewater is generated. In brewery industry, the main section that consumes much water is the brew house, cellars and packaging [1].

The large quantity of wastewater produced contains significant amount of pollutants that usually pose serious risk to human beings, environment, and aquatic life if not properly treated prior to disposal. There are so many ways to treat this wastewater, but this work will focus on coagulation and flocculation processes. Over the years, it has remained the widely used method for water and wastewater treatment. Coagulation/flocculation processes, three important steps are considered: coagulant formation, colloid particles destabilization and inter particle collision and aggregation [2,3,4,5].

The coagulation/flocculation process involves the addition of inorganic/organic coagulants such as Aluminum sulphate, polyamine and *Xanthosomaspp* etc. When added in wastewater, they neutralize the negative charges in the dispersed non-settleable solids, resulting in the formation of micro flocs [6,7].

Since Alzheimer disease is associated with Aluminum sulphate, coupled with significant generation recalcitrant of sludge, there is need to create an alternative room for natural coagulant which are eco-friendly and locally abundant. [8].

This work is therefore, to study the coagulation/flocculation behavior of okra in brewery effluent with respect to pH and dosage variation. Also the kinetic of the process would be investigated.

2.0 COAGULATION THEORY AND MODEL DEVELOPMENT

35 2.1 The theory of rapid coagulation

36 For an aqueous coagulating suspension, where Brownian particle collision is in force, the number of
37 collision occurring per unit time per unit volume, K_{ij} , for two species of particles of volumes v_i and v_j is
38 expressed as,

$$39 K_{ij} = \beta_{BR}(V_i V_j) n_i n_j \quad 1$$

40 Where $\beta_{BR}(V_i V_j)$ is collision frequency



41 $n_i n_j$ is no of concentration of particles

42 The formation rate r_f of particles of volume V_k , resulting from collisions of volumes V_i and V_j is given
43 by [9].

$$44 r_f = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i+j=k} K_{ij}^2$$

45 The summation of $i+j$ implies an overall collision for which

$$46 V_k = V_i + V_j \quad 3$$

47 Conversely, the rate of particle loss by collision is

$$48 r_l = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} K_{ik} \quad 4$$

49 Hence the rate of change due to Brownian motion in the fluid medium is :

$$50 \frac{dn_k}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i+j=k} K_{ij} - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} K_{ik} \quad 5$$

51 Putting equation 1 into equation 5, yields

$$52 \frac{dn_k}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i+j} \beta_{BR}(V_i V_j) n_i n_j - n_k \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_{BR}(V_i V_k) n_i \quad 6$$

53 For kernel like particles,

$$54 K_{ij} = 4\pi(D_i + D_j)(a_i + a_j) \quad 7$$

$$55 \text{ Also, } K_{ij} = \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{K_B T}{\eta} \right) \quad 8$$

56 Combining equations 7 and 8 yields

$$57 (D_i + D_j) = \frac{K_B T}{6\pi\eta} \left(\frac{1}{a_i} + \frac{1}{a_j} \right) \quad 9$$

58 Putting $(D_i + D_j)$ of equation 9 into equation 7 yields

$$K_{ij} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{K_B T}{\eta} \frac{(a_i + a_j)^2}{a_i a_j} \quad 10$$

For particles of difference radii with dimmer formation of an initially monodispersed suspension:

$$\frac{(a_i + a_j)^2}{a_i a_j} = \begin{cases} 4 & \text{for } a_i = a_j \\ >4 & \text{for } a_i \neq a_j \end{cases} \quad 11$$

Hence, for the case of $a_i = a_j$, equation 10 becomes :

$$K_{11} = \frac{8}{3} \frac{K_B T}{\eta} \quad 12$$

Where K_{11} is the Smoluchowski coagulation rate constant.

For $K_{ij} \rightarrow K_{11} = \beta_{BR} (V_i V_j) n_i n_j$, equation 6 solves exactly to yield

$$\frac{N_t}{N_o} = \frac{(K_{11} N_o t/2)^{n-1}}{(1 + K_{11} N_o t/2)^{n+1}} \quad 13$$

It has been established that for aggregating system at $n=1$; extending into flocculation regime, such that

$K_{11} \approx K_m$ [10] equation 13, transforms to equation 14 :

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_L}} = \left(\frac{K_m N_o}{2\sqrt{N_o}} \right) t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_o}} \quad 14$$

Where $K_m = 1/2 \beta_{BR}$

$$= \frac{2}{3} \varepsilon_p \frac{K_B T}{\eta}$$

K_m is defined as Menkonu coag-flocculation rate constant accounting for Brownian coag-flocculation transport of destabilized particle at α th order

ε_p is collision efficiency

From equation 13, coag-flocculation period is obtained as

$$\tau_{1/2} = \frac{1}{(0.5 K_m N_o)} \quad 15$$

Turbidity values obtained could be converted to TSS(mg/L) [11].

by $\text{TSS(mg/L)} = \text{Tss}_f \times (\text{NTU}) \quad 16$

where TSS is total suspended solid and Tss_f is factor that converts turbidity to TSS

The concentration of singlets, doublets and triplets during coagulation can be evaluated by solving equation 13.

82 Hence ,forsinglets(m=1)

$$83 \quad N_1 = N_o \left[\frac{1}{1+t/\tau'} \right] \quad 17$$

84 For doublets(m=2)

$$85 \quad N_2 = N_o \left[\frac{t/\tau'}{(1+t/\tau')^3} \right] \quad 18$$

86 For triplets(m=3)

$$87 \quad N_3 = N_o \left[\frac{(t/\tau')^2}{(1+t/\tau')^4} \right] \quad 19$$

88 Where $\tau' = 2\tau$

89

90 Evaluation of coagulation –flocculation efficiency is given as

$$91 \quad E(\%) = \left[\frac{N_o - N_t}{N_o} \right] \times 100 \quad 20$$

92

93 3.0 Materials and Method

94 3.1 Material Sampling, Preparation and Characterization

95 3.1.1 Brewery Effluent

96 The effluent was collected from brewery plant located in Enugu, Enugu State Nigeria. The
97 characterization of the effluent and the analysis was based on standard method (WST, 2005; AWWA
98 2005).

99 3.1.2 Okra Seed Sample

100 The Okra(*Hibiscus esculentus*) was bought from Ogbete market, Enugu State Nigeria. The Okra seed
101 pods was prepared according procedure reported by Agarwal et al[12]. The characteristics of the sample
102 was on the bases of AOAC[13] standard method.

103 3.2 Coagulation- flocculation Experiment


104 Experiment was conducted using conventional jar test apparatus. Desired dosages of Okra coagulant
105 between 100-500mg/L were directly dosed into 300mL of BFR₂ contained in standard 1000mL
106 beaker(G.C17). The suspension, tuned to pH 2-10 using H₂SO₄/NaOH, was subsequently subjected to 2
107 minutes of rapid mixing(200rpm), 20 minutes of slow mixing (30rpm) using a magnetic stirrer . After

108 agitation, the coagulated fluid was transferred into a cylinder (JayTec.Uk) of height 33.5cm. During
 109 settling, 10mL of the supernatant was withdrawn from 2cm depth and changes in TDSP (mg/L)
 110 measured at 3,5,10,15,20,25 and 30 minutes. The data were subsequently fitted in appropriate kinetic
 111 model.

112 4.0 Results and discussion

113 4.1 Plot of Efficiency E % Vs Time, pH and dosage

114 The removal efficiency E(%) with time, pH and dosage is obtained based on the evaluation of
 115 equation 20. This is presented in figures 1-7. This was obtained at 100,200,300,400 and 500mg/L dosage
 116 for pH 2,4,6,8 and10. From figs 1-7, it can be shown that efficiency increases with time, but its
 117 magnitude varies for different pH and dosage. Also at 30 minutes, the efficiency was between 67.58
 118 and 73.39 % at pH 2. Then at 30 minutes of coag-flocculation, the best performance was 88.31 at pH 2
 119 and 200mg/L dosage. Figure 6 shows that the performance of Okra seed coagulant(OSC) in removing
 120 TDSP from brewery effluent is not significantly affected by the dosage. The performance of the
 121 coagulant reached the optimum value at pH 2 and 4. Meanwhile figure 7 shows that variation in pH has
 122 significant effect on efficiency(E%), recording better performance in an acidic medium. The poor
 123 performance at high doses(especially 500 mg/L) could be attributed to the phenomenon of excess
 124 coagulant being adsorbed on the colloidal surface and producing restabilized colloids.



125

126 Figure 1: Plot of Efficiency (E%) Vs coag-flocculation time for 100mg/L Okra at varying pH




Figure 2: Plot of Efficiency (E%) Vs coag-flocculation time for 200mg/L Okra at varying pH




Figure 3 : plot of Efficiency (E%) Vs coag-flocculation time for 300mg/L Okra at varying pH




Figure 4: Plot of Efficiency (E%) Vs coag-flocculation time for 400mg/L Okra at varying pH




Figure 5 : Plot of Efficiency (E%) Vs coag-flocculation time for 500mg/L Okra at varying pH




Figure 6 : Plot of Efficiency (E%) Vs pH at varying Okra dosage





Figure 7 : Plot of Efficiency (E%) Vs dosage at varying pH

4.2 Nephelometric Kinetic Result

This test was performed for a sample of BRE with an initial SDP of 728.249mg/L at 100-500mg/L and pH 2-10 OSC dosage and pH range, respectively.

151 Assuming perikinetic aggregation condition, where $\alpha=2$, solving equation 13, gives equation 14, which is
 152 presented in the selected linear plots in figure 8. The plots of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_t}}$ Vs t yields, K_m and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_0}}$, as the slope
 153 and intercept, respectively. The results presented in tables 1-5, show that majority of R^2 values are high,
 154 which shows that the reaction is a second order with various constant.



155

156 Figure 8 : Representative kinetic plot of 1/SDP as a function of time for 100mg/L Okra dosage

157 Meanwhile, the value of $K_m = 0.5\beta_{BR}$ can be obtained from equation 15. However, the highest value of
 158 K_m of $3.6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ l/mg.min}$ for the process is recorded at pH of 6 and 100mg/L OSC. The least of K_m of
 159 $2.95 \times 10^{-5} \text{ L/mg.min}$ is recorded at pH of 10 and 500mg/L. In this study, the lowest $\tau_{1/2}$ (0.38 unit) is
 160 recorded at high $K_m 3.6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ L/mg.min}$, which favors fast coag-flocculation process[9]. Generally,
 161 K_m values were obtained from equation 14 and the representative kinetic plot presented in figure 8. In
 162 the tables 1-5, the variation of K_R is minimal, following insignificant changes in values of temperature
 163 and viscosity of the effluent medium

164 Table 1: Coag-flocculation kinetic parameter of Okra in BRE at varying pH and 100mg/L dosages

Parameters	pH=2	pH=4	pH=6	pH=8	pH=10
α	2	2	2	2	2
R^2	0.9977	0.9938	0.969	0.9864	0.9601
$K(\text{L/mg.min})$	5.09×10^{-3}	4.04×10^{-3}	3.6×10^{-3}	3.23×10^{-3}	2.89×10^{-3}
$\beta_{BR}(\text{L/mg.min})$	1.0×10^{-2}	8.16×10^{-3}	7.2×10^{-3}	6.46×10^{-3}	5.78×10^{-3}
$K_r(\text{L/min})$	7.3×10^{-19}	7.65×10^{-19}	7.45×10^{-19}	7.45×10^{-19}	7.45×10^{-19}
$\varepsilon_p(\text{mg}^{-1})$	1.37×10^{16}	1.08×10^{16}	9.67×10^{15}	8.67×10^{15}	7.76×10^{15}
$\tau_{1/2}(\text{min})$	0.54	0.68	0.38	0.85	0.95
$\tau'(\text{min})$	1.08	1.36	0.76	1.7	1.9
$(-r)\text{mg/min}$	5.09	4.04	$3.6 \times 10^{-3} N_t^2$	3.23	2.89
	$\times 10^{-3} N_t^2$	$\times 10^{-3} N_t^2$		$\times 10^{-3} N_t^2$	$\times 10^{-3} N_t^2$

165

166 Table 2: Coag- flocculation kinetic parameter of Okra in BRE at varying pH and 200mg/L dosage

Parameters	pH=2	pH=4	pH=6	pH=8	pH=10
α	2	2	2	2	2
R^2	0.997	0.9911	0.9896	0.9498	0.9671
$K(L/mg.min)$	4.61×10^{-3}	4.05×10^{-3}	3.27×10^{-3}	3.15×10^{-3}	2.78×10^{-3}
$\beta_{BR}(L/mg.min)$	9.22×10^{-3}	8.1×10^{-3}	6.54×10^{-3}	6.3×10^{-3}	5.56×10^{-3}
$K_r(L/min)$	7.44×10^{-19}	7.43×10^{-19}	7.45×10^{-19}	7.45×10^{-19}	7.5×10^{-19}
$\varepsilon_p (mg^{-1})$	1.24×10^{16}	1.09×10^{16}	8.78×10^{15}	8.46×10^{15}	7.4×10^{15}
$\tau_{1/2} (min)$	0.59	0.68	0.84	0.87	0.98
$\tau'(min)$	1.18	1.36	1.68	1.74	1.98
$(-r)mg/min$	4.61 $\times 10^{-3}N_t^2$	4.05 $\times 10^{-3}N_t^2$	3.27 $\times 10^{-3}N_t^2$	3.15 $\times 10^{-3}N_t^2$	$2.78 \times 10^{-3} N_t^2$

167

168 Table 3: Coag-flocculation kinetic parameter of Okra in BRE at varying pH and 300mg/L dosage

Parameters	pH=2	pH=4	pH=6	pH=8	pH=10
α	2	2	2	2	2
R^2	0.9873	0.9639	0.9574	0.9407	0.881
$K(L/mg.min)$	5.22×10^{-3}	4.2×10^{-3}	3.37×10^{-3}	3.03×10^{-3}	2.82×10^{-3}
$\beta_{BR}(L/mg.min)$	1.04×10^{-2}	8.4×10^{-3}	6.74×10^{-3}	6.06×10^{-3}	5.64×10^{-3}
$K_r (L/mg.min)$	7.43×10^{-19}	7.43×10^{-19}	7.6×10^{-19}	7.45×10^{-19}	7.4×10^{-19}
$\varepsilon_p (mg^{-1})$	1.4×10^{16}	1.13×10^{16}	8.86×10^{15}	8.14×10^{15}	7.57×10^{15}
$\tau_{1/2} (min)$	0.53	0.65	0.83	0.91	0.97
$\tau'(min)$	1.06	1.3	1.66	1.82	1.95
$(-r)mg/min$	5.22 $\times 10^{-2}N_t^2$	$4.2 \times 10^{-3}N_t^2$	3.37 $\times 10^{-3}N_t^2$	3.03 $\times 10^{-3}N_t^2$	2.82 $\times 10^{-3}N_t^2$

169

170 Table4: Coag-flocculation kinetic parameter of Okra in BRE at varying pH and 400mg/L dosage

Parameters	pH=2	pH=4	pH=6	pH=8	pH=10
α	2	2	2	2	2
R^2	0.9977	0.9941	0.9998	0.9167	0.9514
$K(L/mg.min)$	4.78×10^{-3}	3.97×10^{-3}	3.1×10^{-3}	2.98×10^{-3}	2.82×10^{-3}
$\beta_{BR}(L/mg.min)$	9.4×10^{-3}	7.94×10^{-3}	6.2×10^{-3}	5.96×10^{-3}	5.64×10^{-3}
$K_r (L/mg.min)$	7.34×10^{-19}	7.45×10^{-19}	7.45×10^{-19}	7.45×10^{-19}	7.46×10^{-19}
$\varepsilon_p (mg^{-1})$	1.28×10^{16}	1.06×10^{16}	8.32×10^{15}	8.0×10^{15}	7.56×10^{15}
$\tau_{1/2} (min)$	0.57	0.69	0.88	0.92	0.97
$\tau'(min)$	1.14	1.38	1.76	1.84	1.95
$(-r)mg/min$	4.78 $\times 10^{-3}N_t^2$	3.97 $\times 10^{-3}N_t^2$	$3.1 \times 10^{-3}N_t^2$	2.98 $\times 10^{-3}N_t^2$	2.82 $\times 10^{-3}N_t^2$

171

172

173 Table 5: Coag-flocculation kinetic parameter of Okra in BRE at varying pH and 500mg/L dosage


Parameters	pH=2	pH=4	pH=6	pH=8	pH=10
α	2	2	2	2	2
R^2	0.9981	0.9836	0.9719	0.9719	0.8947
$K(L/mg.min)$	4.58×10^{-3}	3.58×10^{-3}	3.03×10^{-3}	3.03×10^{-3}	2.95×10^{-5}
β_{BR} (L/mg.min)	9.0×10^{-3}	7.16×10^{-3}	6.06×10^{-3}	6.06×10^{-3}	5.9×10^{-5}
K_r (L/mg.min)	7.32×10^{-19}	7.45×10^{-19}	7.45×10^{-19}	7.45×10^{-19}	7.45×10^{-19}
ε_p (mg^{-1})	1.23×10^{16}	9.61×10^{15}	8.14×10^{15}	8.14×10^{15}	7.92×10^{13}
$\tau_{1/2}$ (min)	0.6	0.76	0.91	0.91	9.3
τ' (min)	1.2	1.52	1.82	1.82	186
(-r)mg/min	4.58 $\times 10^{-3} N_t^2$	3.58 $\times 10^{-3} N_t^2$	3.03 $\times 10^{-3} N_t^2$	3.03 $\times 10^{-3} N_t^2$	2.95 $\times 10^{-5} N_t^2$

174

175 4.3 Particles Distribution Plots

176 The particle distribution plots are presented in figures 9 and 10 for $\tau_{1/2}=0.38min$ and $\tau_{1/2}=9.3min$
 177 ,respectively. In figure 9, the number of singlet particle decreases more rapidly than the sum particles.
 178 This indicate that early stage of this coag-flocculation is affected by colloidal destabilization and rapid
 179 sweep.

180 In figure 10, the plot exhibits opposing profile to that of figure 9. Here there is decrease in rapidity of
 181 flocculation resulting from destabilization inertia. The curve clearly demonstrates that there is slow
 182 colloidal destabilization, resulting to low particle entrapment and low bridging mechanism.



183

184

Figure 9 : Particles distribution behavior for half life of 0.38min




Figure 10 : Particles distribution behavior for half-life of 9.3min

5.0 Conclusion

The potential application of Okra seed as an effective plant coag-flocculation has been established. The process effectively removed 88.5% turbidity from the effluent. However, the jar test conducted was sensitive to pH and dosage. 200mg/L dose of Okra seed coagulant and pH 2 were found to be optimal conditions for the effluent treatment. It could be inferred at the experimental conditions that coag-flocculation process using okra seed was feasible for the treatment of brewery effluent.

Nomenclature

K_m : Menkonu coag-flocculation rate constant

β_{BR} : Collision factor for Brownian Transport

ε_p : Collision Efficiency

$\tau_{1/2}$: Coagulation Period/Half life

E: Coag-flocculation Efficiency

R^2 : Coefficient of Determination

K_R : Smoluchowski coag-flocculation constant

α : Coag-flocculation reaction order

204 -r :Coag-flocculation reaction rate

205 OSC : Okra Seed Coagulant

206 References

207 [1] Van der Merwe,A., Friend, J.F.CWater management at a malted barley brewery. Water SA ., 2002.
208 28(3),313-318.

209 [2] Ndabigengesere, A., Narasiah, K.S., Quality of water treated by coagulation using moringaoleifera
210 seeds. Water Research 2009:32, 781-791.

211 [3] Peary, H.S., Rowe, D.R., Tchobanoglous, G.,Environmental Engineering.McGraw-Hill, Inc., New
212 York1985.

213 [4] Crawford,H.B., Margolies, R.T The NALCO water handbook. McGraw-Hill Book Company, New
214 York1988.

215 [5] Fillandeau, L., Blanpain-Avet, P., Danfin,G Water ,wastewater and wastewater management in
216 brewery industries. Journal of cleaner production, 2006: 14, 463-471

217 [6] WST., About coagulation and flocculation : Information Bulletin USA, 2005.

218 [7] B.I.Okolo, , P.C.Nnaji, M.C.Menkiti, V.I.Ugonabo,, O.D.OnukwuliParametric Response Evaluation for
219 Xanthosoma spp. Induced Coag-Flocculation of Brewery Effluent ,Green and Sustainable Chemistry,
220 2014, 4, 7-14

221
222 [8Ghebremichael] K., Moringa seed and pumice as alternative natural materials for drinking water
223 treatment. TRITALIWR PHD 1013 Ph.D Thesis, KTH Land and Water Resources Engineering (2004).

224 [9] Von Smoluchowski, M., Phys. Z., 17, 557-599, 1917.

225 [10] MenkitiM.C., Onukwuli ,O.D. Coag-flocculation of Mucuna seed Coag-flocculant (MSc) in Coal
226 washeryeffluent(CWE) using light Scattering effects; AIChE journal, 2012:l 56(4),303-1307.

227 [11] MetCalf and Eddy Physical Unit Process, Wastewater Engineering Treatment and Reuse.4th Ed.
228 Tat.Graw-Hill, New York2003.

229 [12] AgarwalM., RajaniS., Mishra A.,. RaS J.P. i, Utilization of Okra gum for Treatment of Tannery
230 Effluent, Int. J. Polymeric materials,2003: 52, 1049-1057

231 [13] AOAC, Official Methods of Analysis, Association of Official Analytical Chemist,14th ed. USA.(1993).