



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_13315
Title of the Manuscript:	LEAD Removal from Contaminated Water by Corn and Palm Nut Husks
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This manuscripts needs major revision for the following points: -Title : LEAD rewrite it as Lead -Keywords: Potash???? - Introduction needs to rewrite it again and reduce the huge number of references which is not related directly to the adsorption process. - Spaces between words such as The result demonstrate. Lead nitrate, Inching. Many like this in the text. -Line 109 lead Nitrate// lead nitrate. - Section 2.2 and 2.3 must be in one section - No effect to the temperature, pH, concentration and other parameters discussed in this Ms. - Results were discussed poorly. - Conclusion is not acceptable. Authors are careless in writing this manuscript	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer