

Original Research Article

Delineating Aquifer Systems Using Dar Zarouk Parameters Determined From Surface Geoelectric Survey: Case Study of Okigwe District, Southeastern Nigeria.

Leonard I. Nwosu*, Anthony S. Ekine and Cyril N. Nwankwo
Department of Physics, University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

Comment [DTA1]: check.

ABSTRACT

This study is aimed at delineating the aquifer systems in the study area and hence determine the parts with higher aquifer yield. To achieve this, 120 Vertical Electrical Soundings (VES) were carried out in Okigwe District of Imo State of Nigeria, using the Schlumberger electrode array and a maximum electrode spread of 900m. Twelve of the VES stations were sited near existing boreholes to enhance interpretation. The resistivity of the aquifers zones varied across the study area. The highest thickness of 104.4m for the aquifer is recorded at Amonze (VES 95). Using an average transmissivity of 1032.0848 m²/day determined from pumping test data of the boreholes in the area, a mean conductance value of 91.222 m/day was obtained for the area. The hydraulic conductivity values obtained from the VES results varied from 9.8854 to 115.9646 m/day. The transmissivity values range from 992.04 to 10388m²/day. The storativity or storage coefficient determined for the area ranged from 1.59x10⁻⁴ to 7.80x10⁻³ while the specific capacity is fairly uniform with magnitude of about 877 m³/day. Using Dar Zarrouk parameters determined for the area, three aquifer systems have been identified viz: the Coastal plain sands aquifer, the Bende-Ameki sandstone/shale aquifer and the Ajali sandstone aquifer. The coastal plain sands aquifer serves the Southern part of Isiala Mbano, Ehime Mbano, Ihitte Uboma and the entire Obowo Local Government Areas (LGAs). The Bende-Ameki sandstone aquifer serves part of Ihitte Uboma, the Northern part of Ehime Mbano and Isiala Mbano. The Ajali sandstone aquifer serves the Northwestern part of the study area in Okigwe. Groundwater potential evaluation of the district based on the survey and borehole hydrogeological information revealed that the Southern part of the district is the most prolific in terms of groundwater exploitation and thus the most promising for siting productive boreholes.

Key Words: Hydraulic conductivity, Aquifer Systems, Aquiferous Zones, Dar Zarouk, VES.

1. INTRODUCTION

Okigwe District is in Imo State of Nigeria. The area lies between Latitudes 5°30'N to and 5°57'N of the Equator and Longitudes 7°04'E to 7°26'E of the Greenwich Meridian (Fig. 1) covering a land area of about 1,824 km². The district is made up of six LGAs namely, Isiala Mbano, Ihitte Uboma, Ehime Mbano, Onuimo, Obowo and Okigwe. Scarcity of potable water in both the rural and urban areas of Okigwe district especially during the dry seasons has become a concern to Government and the citizens of the area. Governments' intervention by of siting the Okigwe regional water scheme in Onuimo LGA has did not yielded the desired results of supplying-making


Comment [DTA2]: Pls check this grammar. I hope we are not expecting a new round of grammatical errors.

portable water from the Imo River readily available largely due to pollution and paucity lack of funds for extensive project execution.

Many failed boreholes projects exist have been experienced in manymost parts of the dDistrict-in the recent past. This borehole failures may have resulted from the nature of the geological setting of the area especially in around the northern part and inadequate or lack of geophysical information to guide during the drilling phase. Hence proper geophysical investigation of the area is required as the area is fast experiencing increase in commercial and industrial activities owing to rapid urbanization. This e-study is aimed at therefore, attempts to mapping the aquifer systems in an geologically-complicated environment that has with high borehole failure rate. This will help in generating information that will aid borehole developers in the successful drilling of water boreholes.

Electrical resistivity of rocks is a variable property that depends on a number of factors including the resistivity of the pore electrolyte and is inversely related to the porosity and degree of saturation of the formation (Ekine, 2010). The resistivity of rock layers vary considerably from formation to formation as well as within a particular layer (Ekine, 2010). This variation can be very large-wide for any particular layer. Table 1 gives approximate ranges for of the electrical resistivity of rocks and soils, which was used in the interpretation of VES data in this work.

Table 1: Approximate ranges for the electric resistivity of rocks and soils (Vingoe, 1972).



Dar Zarouk parameters derived from layer resistivity and thickness of a geoelectric layer generated from the modeling of VES data during Vertical Electrical Sounding (VES) survey has been proved to be very useful in understanding the spatial distribution of aquifer hydraulic parameters (Zhody, et al., 1965). Niwas and Singhal (1981) derived analytically the relationship between aquifer transmissivity and transverse resistance, and that between transmissivity and longitudinal conductance. The results of their study showed that in areas where the geologic setting and water quality do not vary greatly, the product of hydraulic conductivity K and aquifer conductivity σ , product (Ko) , will remains fairly constant. Thus if the value of hydraulic

Comment [DTA3]: Again?

Comment [DTA4]: How did you know? I think a reference is necessary to support this claim since none of the authors is working with the agency.

Comment [DTA5]: You still need to mention some of the affected communities in your work but they MUST be CLEARLY shown in your location map.

Comment [DTA6]: Check for grammatical error.

Comment [DTA7]: Complete the sentence by inserting the techniques that you intend to use.

Comment [DTA8]: Yet this Table 1 is not still looking original. I am very sure that nothing stops our dear authors from giving their esteemed readers something better.

conductivity K is known from the existing boreholes and the electrical conductivity σ , from the sounding interpretation around the boreholes are available, it is possible to estimate the transmissivity and its spatial variation from place to place by determining the transverse resistance or longitudinal conductance for the aquifer. This is the basis of this geophysical survey.




Fig. 1: Map of study area showing sounding stations and interpretative Geoelectric Cross-Section (IGCS) traverse.

Comment [DTA9]: Seen but still lacks tint of originality with the same old crowded information. Even at a magnification of over 200, the entries are still very difficult to read. Nothing stops the authors from improving the quality of this map.

In your map legend, some fonts are bolded while others are faint. Any reason for this?

1.1 Literature Review

A review of the geology of the district (Fig. 2) reveals the following stratigraphic units; the Benin Formation, the Ogwashi - Asaba Formation, the Bende - Ameki Formation, Imo Shale Formation, Nsukka Formation and Ajali Formation (Akaolisa and Selemono, 2009).

Comment [DTA10]: I am finding it difficult to understand why the authors removed the titles and subtitles that were proper in my assessment. Now they have bundled up geological and theoretical basis of their study together. Even if they are to be subtitles under Literature Review, they could have been allowed to remain. I strongly suggest that they should be brought back.




Fig. 2: Geological map of Imo State showing the study Area (Adapted from Akaolisa and Selema, 2009).

The Benin Formation is overlain by lateritic overburden and underlain by the Ogwashi - Asaba Formation, which is in turn underlain by the Ameki Formation of Eocene to Oligocene age (Mbonu et al., 1990). The Benin Formation consists of coarse - grained gravelly sandstones with minor intercalations of shales and clay. The sand units are mostly coarse to fine grained, pebbly and poorly sorted (Onyeagocha, 1980; Short and Stauble, 1967). The southern part of the study area covering Obowo, Southern part of Ehime Mbano and Isiala Mbano fall within this formation. The Ogwashi - Asaba Formation is made up of variable succession of clays, sands and grits with seams of lignite. It also forms part of the study area. The Bende-Ameki Formation consists of greenish - grey clayey sandstones, shales and mudstones with interbedded limestones. This formation in turn overlies the impervious Imo Shale Group.

The Ajali Formation consists of thick friable, poorly sorted sandstones typically white in colour but sometimes iron- stained. A marked banding of coarse and fine layer is displayed (Kogbe, 1989). The Ajali Formation is often overlain by a considerable thickness of red earth, which consists of red, earthy sands formed by the weathering and ferruginisation of the formation (Kogbe, 1989).

Comment [DTA11]: Imo State or parts of Imo State?

Confirm that your legend entries matches with what you have on the map.

Comment [DTA12]: What are you reserving this space for?

Comment [DTA13]: Check.

Comment [DTA14]: Separate

Comment [DTA15]: I suggest that a subtopic should be here.

Comment [DTA16]: You still mean 'aquifer flow'

Using the Logan's approximation of Theim's equation (Logan, 1964), aquifer parameters from pumping test data can be determined for steady state aquifer flow as follows:

$$Q = \frac{2\pi S_{mw} K h}{2.3 \log \frac{r_{max}}{r_w}} \quad 1$$

where Q = well discharge in m^3 / day , K = average hydraulic conductivity in m / day , h = thickness of the aquifer in m , S_{mw} = maximum drawdown in the pumped well in m , r_w = radius of the pumped well in m and r_{max} = radius of influence of the pumping in m

K = average hydraulic conductivity in m / day

h = thickness of the aquifer in (m)

S_{mw} = maximum drawdown in the pumped well in (m) .

r_w = radius of the pumped well in (m) .

r_{max} = radius of influence of the pumping in (m) .

The area circumscribed by r_{max} is the area in the borehole environment that contributes water to the well. Equation (1) was modified by Logan (1964) for steady state flow in confined aquifer as

$$Kh = \frac{2.3Q \log \frac{r_{max}}{r_w}}{2\pi S_{mw}} \quad 2$$

And since the logarithm of the ratio of r_{max} to r_w is very small, assuming average condition of radii, $r_{max} = 500 \text{ m}$, $r_w = 0.3048 \text{ m}$, then

$$\log \frac{r_{max}}{r_w} = 3.21$$

The equation further reduces to

$$Kh = \frac{1.18Q}{S_{mw}} \quad 3$$

$$\therefore K = \frac{1.18Q}{hS_{mw}} \quad 4$$

Table 3 shows the pumping test data obtained for some boreholes in the study area. Computation of the aquifer parameters using equations 1 to 4 gave the values displayed in Table 2 for parameters 1 to 6.

Dar Zarouk Parameters equations are derived by considering a column of unit square cross-sectional area cut out of group of layers of infinite extent. The total transverse unit resistance R , and total longitudinal unit conductance S , are respectively given as:

$$R = \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i h_i \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n \quad 5$$

The total longitudinal unit conductance,

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\rho_i}{R_i} \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n. \quad 6$$

where ρ_i and h_i are the resistivity and thickness of the i -th layer. The average longitudinal resistivity, The parameters R and S are called the Dar Zarouk parameters.

$$\rho_t = \frac{H}{S}$$

where

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^n h_i \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$$

and the average transverse resistivity

$$\rho_t = \frac{R}{H}$$

Transmissivity, T_n , used in groundwater hydrology (Mbonu *et al.*, 1990), is given by

$$T_{ri} = K_i h_i$$

where K_i is the hydraulic conductivity of the i -th layer of thickness h_i . The parameters R and S are called the Dar Zarouk parameters.

The relationship between aquifer transmissivity, T_r and transverse resistance, R and that between T_r and S have been derived analytically by Niwas and Singhal (1981) as

$$T_r = K \sigma R$$

Thus, it is possible to estimate the transmissivity and its spatial variation from place to place from values the determinations of R or S for of the aquifer.

Parameters 7 to 15 of Table 3 were determined using the above equation. Notice the close agreement between parameters 2 and 15 for Madonna II hitte Uboma.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

A total of 120 Vertical Electrical Soundings (VESs) were carried out in the study area using the Schlumberger electrode configuration with a maximum electrode spacing of 900 m. The ABEM Terrameter (SAS) 300B was used to acquire the data. It has a liquid crystal digital read-out and an automatic signal averaging microprocessor. Four stainless steel non-polarizable electrodes were made up of used, two current electrodes and two potential electrodes were used. A 12V dc source was used to power the instrument supply current.

The current electrode spacing was increased symmetrically about the centre, keeping the potential electrode constant until it became necessary to increase the potential electrode spacing as the strength of the recorded signal diminished. The apparent resistivity values computed were plotted against half of the current electrode spacing ($L/2$) on a log-log graph (Fig. 3(i)). The sounding curves obtained were subjected to conventional partial curve matching using the Rijks

Comment [DTA17]: Check sir.

Comment [DTA18]: Cross check with earlier comment

Comment [DTA19]: Is this your 't' subscripted

Comment [DTA20]: Space.

Comment [DTA21]: Are you sure that this your 't' is subscripted.

Comment [DTA22]: Please check this equation again

Comment [DTA23]: Pls specify the equation that you are referring to as above.

Comment [DTA24]: ??

Comment [DTA25]: Once you have determined an acronym for some words or phrase, you do not need to be defining them again.

Comment [DTA26]: Which of the electrodes are you referring to?

Waterstaat (1975) master curves to obtain the initial model parameters (resistivities and thicknesses) for computer aided interpretation. The field measurements were inverted using the Schlumberger automatic analysis version 0.92 software package (Hemkler, 1985) to determine the true resistivities and depths of subsurface formations. The resulting model curves have three to five interpretable geoelectric layers with less than 5% RMS errors.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The survey revealed that the study area is multi-layered exhibiting variation in resistivity with depth. The geoelectric section compares favourably with the borehole lithology and the resistivity model gave the resistivity of the probable aquifer, the depth to aquifer and the aquifer thickness. Figures 3, 4 and 5 show typical modelled VES curves, the geoelectric section and borehole lithology of VES 79 near Isinweke borehole in Ihitte Uboma LGA; VES 32 near Anara borehole and VES 110 near Okigwe borehole respectively. The curves are combinations of H-type and K-type (Ekine, 2010) and HKH-type and KQH-type (Oseji, 2005).

The interpretative geoelectric cross-sections (IGCSs) constructed for the area along profiles CD, EF and GH (Fig. 1) reveal that there are variations in resistivity and thickness of the aquiferous zones across the entire study area. The top layers generally show large variations in layer resistivity. These layers correspond to the brown to reddish lateritic overburden interspersed with sandy soils, sandy clay with humus. The aquiferous layer consists of fine to medium coarse sands, sandstones and sandy shales. The aquifer is thicker in the southern part of the study area. The aquiferous layer is underlain by conductive layer composed of clay-sand/lignite and shale. The geoelectric sections CD, EF and GH (Figures 6, 7 and 8) indicate that the main aquifers are confined in some parts of the traverses. The Northeastern part and the South-South area indicate prospective area for siting water boreholes with high yield expectations. Similar observation is recorded by profile GH in the Southern and South-South zone with high aquifer thickness (Maduagwu, 1990; Njoku, 1991).

Table 3 compares aquifer characteristics determined from pumping test data with those calculated on the basis of VES data. Parameters 1 to 6 were determined from pumping test data while parameters 7 to 15 were computed from VES results. The computation was based on the screened sections of the aquifer in the borehole. The hydraulic properties of the aquiferous zone horizon determined for the VES stations 61 to 80 are displayed in Table 4. The table shows variation in transmissivity and storativity values as well as other hydraulic properties of the aquiferous zones.

The hydraulic conductivity values obtained from the VES results varied from 9.8854×10^{-4} to 115.9646×10^{-4} m/day. The storativity values determined for the area varied from 1.59×10^{-4} to 7.80×10^{-3} while the specific capacity is fairly uniform with a maximum value $877 \text{ m}^3/\text{day}$. The transmissivity value of $992.04 \text{ m}^2/\text{day}$ was recorded in the Northern part of the study area. The value increased towards the southern part with corresponding higher values of aquifer thickness and storage coefficient.

3.1 Evaluation of Aquifer Systems in the Study Area

On the basis of the diagnostic electrical and hydraulic conductivity product (K_0) values, three aquifer systems have been identified (Fig. 9). These are:

(i). The coastal plain sand aquifer (Zone A) is relatively thick and unconfined with high thickness and transmissivity values as well as storage coefficient. The aquifer materials are fine to medium coarse, unconsolidated sand and sandstone with seams of sandy clay (Ekwe et al., 2006). This zone covers the southern part of Isiala Mbano, Ehime Mbano, Ihitte Uboma and the entire Obowo LGA. The K_0 values range from 0.0093 to 0.00921. This close range suggests little variation in the water quality of the aquifers. The relatively lower resistivity value recorded at Okwuoma (VES 81) could be linked to Abadaba Lake and that recorded at VES 95 to the presence of Onuinyi River. The aquifer is relatively deep in this zone. However, the lower resistivity values that VES 91 has over VES 81 could be linked to the nature of the depositional environment. The depth to the

Comment [DTA27]: In stack contrast to what is indicated beside the curves.

Comment [DTA28]: There must be consistency in writing figure captions. I am seeing Figures in one page and Fig. in other pages and even within the same page.

Comment [DTA29]: The term 'aquiferous' does not exist in the English Dictionary. It appears to be a jargon and as such should not be used. I suggest that you change them to aquifers.

Comment [DTA30]: All these sentences begin with the same words/phrases thereby rendering them monotonous. How I wish this was to be a piece of poetic or dramatic writing where they could have conveyed a different meaning to the writing.

Comment [DTA31]: Which table?

Comment [DTA32]: I do not think that it is possible to achieve this level of accuracy from geophysics. I will rather suggest that you reduce them to a maximum of 2 dec pls.

Comment [DTA33]: Recorded or observed?

aquifer is about 53 m in Obowo, 88 m to 90 m in Ihitte Uboma, 52 m to 70 m in Isiala Mbano and 40 m to 45 m in Ehime Mbano.

(ii). The Bende- Ameki Sandstone/Shale aquifer (Zone B) which covers the least area in the district is located around parts of Ihitte Uboma and the Northern part of Ehime Mbano and Isiala Mbano LGAs. The formation is a productive aquiferous though but not to the extent of the coastal plain sands with transmissivity being lower due to the higher percentage of shales. Groundwater exploitation is sometimes difficult in this zone (IWADA 2002). The $K\alpha$ values range from 0.00831 to 0.23787. This range suggests variation in the water quality in the aquifers. The depth to aquifer is about 40 m to 45 m.

Comment [DTA34]: These modeled VES curves are still being plagued by originality problems.

The unit of the variables on the axes of all the VES curves are not indicated.

K-type curve in a four layered subsurface? Something is terribly wrong.

Why is it that in your Figs 4-6 iii, the lithological symbols in your Fig. 3iii suddenly disappear. Also, what is responsible for the change in style?

Did the authors notice that in the Legend over Figs 3-6ii, the multiplier that are indicated at the top is not complete.

I strongly believe that the authors can do better than this.







Fig. 3: Model curve, geoelectric section and lithology of VES 79 near Isinweke Borehole.



i Model curve
ii Geoelectric section
iii Lithology

Fig. 4: Model curve, geoelectric section and lithology of VES 32 near Anara Borehole.

397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442






Fig.6: Interpretative Geoelectric Cross-Section (IGCS) along profile line CD




Fig.7: Interpretative Geoelectric Cross-Section (IGCS) along profile line EF

Comment [DTA35]:

The IGCS looks not only disgusting but irritating especially with the colour selection. All geological considerations necessary for proper construction of cross sections seem to have been ignored while drawing these ones.

The different resistivity ranges in the various colour zonations are supposed to be inserted into the IGCS. The major reason for suggesting this in my original comments was for both the authors and the readers to take a second but critical look at the wide range of the resistivity values in each colour band

Some mind bugging questions that have been provoked include

- Is it geologically meaningful to group a lithologic unit with resistivity as low as 287 with 13,300 in an area with no significant geological and environmental changes?
- How will the authors account for the difference in the resistivity values indicated in the original IGCS and the so-called ranges indicated in the LEGEND in the present form? Its like a foul play has been unleashed. A visual comparison of the ranges indicated and what it ought to be if properly transferred shows that values were either magnified or stepped down to fit some expected results in all the IGCS. What an unscientific way of data handling!

I am quite convinced my dear authors cannot convincingly read what they wrote on both the vertical as well as the horizontal axes even when they are called upon to be judges in their own case.




Fig.8: Interpretative IGEOelectric Cross-Section (IGCS) along profile line GH

(iii). The Ajali Sandstone/Shale aquifer (Zone D) covers the Northwestern part of the study area in Okigwe LGA. This area falls within the Ajali Formation which consists of thick friable, poorly sorted sandstones. The clay and shale members of this formation are thick which makes ground water exploitation difficult (IWADA, 2002). Water is available through cracks and fissures.

Each zone is fairly homogenous in terms of geologic setting, hydraulic properties and possibly water quality. This is because Niwas and Singhal (1981) showed that in areas of similar geologic setting and water quality, the product, K_0 , remain fairly constant. This assumption has also been adopted by Ekine and Iheonunekwu (2007) and Nwosu et al. (2011) in identifying the aquifer systems in Mbaitoli and Isiala Mbano LGAs of Imo State respectively.

On the bases of longitudinal conductance and possible groundwater divides, four zones are identified using the corresponding locations of K_0 product values (Fig. 10). The areas covered by Zone D are underlain by relatively high resistive (low longitudinal conductance aquifer materials). These areas may not be good prospects for drilling boreholes with high yield expectation (Mbonu et al., 1990). The dividing lines between Zones A and C runs almost parallel to the channels of

Comment [DTA36]: Certainly not a finding from this study. How did you know?

Comment [DTA37]: Pls check

Nterere and Odioma Rivers that join to drain southwards into the Imo River. Similarly, the dividing line between Zones A and B runs along the channels of Efuru River and Eze Rivers which are tributaries of Imo River that terminate at Abadaba Lake. These lines indicate possible groundwater divide.

4. CONCLUSION

On the basis of the diagnostic conductivity product ($K\sigma$) and longitudinal conductance values distribution, three main aquifer systems has been identified for the study area. The resistivity of the aquifer zones varies across the area with an average value of about 3,133 Ω m. The highest thickness of 104.4 m of aquifer was recorded in the southern part of area. This work has successfully delineated the aquifer systems in Okigwe District. Based on the VES results, the Southern and Northeastern parts of the district are more promising for siting borehole with high yield expectations than the northwestern North-Western part as there is no well defined sand body that constitute aquifer there. The occurrence of aquifer in this area is linked to the presence of fracture in the shale members.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The Authors declare that there is no competing interest for this study.

546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560

**TABLE 2: EXISTING BOREHOLE /PUMPING TEST DATA - CONVERTED VALUES
(SOURCE: IMO STATE WATER BOARD, OWERRI, IMO STATE)**

S/No	LOCATION	DRILL DEPTH (m)	CASING DIAMETER (m)	SCREEN DIAMETER (m)	CASING DEPTH (m)	SCREEN LENGTH (m)	SWL (m)	DRAW DOWN (m)	YIELD (m ³ /day)
1	Avutu No. 2 BH	170.7	0.34	0.20	146.3	21.3	53.0	10.7	4384.25
2	Anara 1	73.2	0.30	0.20	54.9	15.2	52.4	Abortive	218.21
3	Umununo 1	73.2	0.30	0.20	54.9	15.2	23.8	28.7	618.99
4	Umununo 2	79.3	0.30	0.20	67.1	9.2	21.6	27.1	392.78
5	Amaraku 1 Amaraku 2	99.4 118.9	0.20 0.30	0.15 0.25	76.2 83.5	1.5 6.1	31.1 74.4	- 1.8	1091.06
6	Madona 1 Ihiite Uboma	128.0	0.34	0.25	97.5	30.5	95.1	6.3	654.64
7	Madona 2 Ihiite Uboma	182.9	0.34	0.25	151.8	22.0	93.3	6.4	2618.55
8	Nsu	143.3	0.20	0.15	125.6	17.7	35.3	Collapsed	781.96
9	Ugiri	121.9	0.25	0.20	103.6	15.2	71.3	6.1	1745.70
10	Mbano	91.4	0.13	0.12	73.8	6.1	46.0	20.1	78.56
11	Mbano Hospital	85.6	0.25	0.15	78.9	9.8	44.8	3.7	1854.80
12	Umuelenai	140.2	0.30	0.20	121.9	15.2	30.9	3.8	5237.10
13	Isiweke LGA H/Q	155.5	0.30	0.20	121.9	12.2	87.6	2.0	8292.07
14	Okigwa	94.5	0.17	0.13	85.3	5.4	23.2	-	327.32

TABLE 3: AQUIFER CHARACTERISTICS CALCULATED FOR SOME BOREHOLES LOCATED IN THE STUDY AREA

S/No	PARAMETER	AVUTU BH	ANARA BH	UMUNUMO 2 BH	AMARAKU 2 BH	MADONNA 2 IHITTE UBOMA BH	NSU BH	UGIRI BH	MBANO HOSP. UMUELEMAI BH	ISIWEKE BH	OKIGWE BH
1	Screen Length (m)	21.34	15.24	15.24	6.10	21.95	17.68	15.24	9.75	12.19	5.39
2	Average field hydraulic conductivity K (m/day)	22.5957	-	1.6729	115.3321	21.9952	-	22.158	61.333	393.463	-
3	Transmissivity (m ² /day)	482.1922	-	25.4950	703.5285	482.7946	-	136.272	597.997	4796.314	-
4	Storativity	0.000257	-	0.000210	0.000375	0.000226	-	0.000073	0.000319	0.02588	-
5	Specific Storage	0.000012	-	0.000014	0.000061	0.000010	-	0.000005	0.000033	0.000210	-
6	Specific capacity (m ³ /day)	409.8634	-	21.6707	577.997	410.3754	-	287.035	508.297	4076.867	-
7	Resistivity of Aquifer(Ω m)	5810	13400	12600	15000	16200	2430	6080	14800	4368	13950
8	Aquifer thickness h (m)	24.80	18.20	16.00	35.50	38.20	23.6	24.50	71.70	18.40	16.40
9	Conductivity σ ($\Omega^{-1}m^{-1}$)	0.000172	0.000746	0.000079	0.000067	0.000062	0.00412	0.000164	0.000068	0.000229	0.000072
10	Longitudinal Conductance S	0.0043	0.0014	0.0013	0.0024	0.0024	0.0097	0.0040	0.0048	0.0042	0.0012
11	Transverse Resistance	1440.88	1243880	201600	532500	618840	57348	148960	1061160	80371.20	228780
12	K σ value	0.007158	0.042304	0.005096	0.001948	0.001675	0.018018	0.006909	0.000979	0.012845	0.004531
13	K/ σ	241955.23	76106.01	816522.78	433,907.46	435,772.58	106,146.60	619,498.53	211,683.82	244,611.35	874,055.56
14	Transmissivity of aquiferous zone Tr (m ² /day)	1031.382	10317.141	1027.337	1037.245	1036.625	1033.282	1029.112	1038.691	1032.366	1036.626
15	Hydraulic conductivity	41.6163	56.7080	64.5053	29.0728	27.0179	43.7324	42.1259	14.3945	56.0916	62.9320

561

562

TABLE 4: SUMMARY OF AQUIFER CHARACTERISTICS FOR ALL THE SOUNDING STATIONS										
VES Station	Resistivity of Aquifer($\Omega \cdot m$)	Thickness (m)	Conductivity σ ($S \cdot m^{-1}$) $\times 10^{-4}$	Transverse Resistance R (Ωm)	Longitudinal Conductance S (m^{-1})	Hydraulic conductivity K (m/day)	Transmissivity T (m ² /day)	Conductivity Product K σ	Storativity $\times 10^{-1}$	Specific Capacity (m ³ /day)
61	1480	50.3	67.6	74444.00	0.034	20.5186	1032.58	0.014	2.48	877.27
62	1430	72.0	69.9	102960.00	0.050	14.3345	1031.64	0.010	3.55	877.27
63	14800	71.7	6.8	1357160.00	0.005	14.3945	1328.48	0.001	3.08	877.27
64	4860	64.1	20.6	311526.00	0.013	16.1012	1033.28	0.003	3.16	877.27
65	12500	34.2	8.0	427500.00	0.003	30.1779	1032.08	0.002	1.69	877.27
66	1360	25.0	73.5	34000.00	0.018	41.2834	1031.67	0.030	1.23	877.27
67	1362	42.4	73.4	57748.80	0.031	24.3416	1031.78	0.018	2.09	877.27
68	2185	34.2	47.8	71727.00	0.016	30.1779	1077.91	0.014	1.69	877.27
69	1268	47.8	78.9	60610.40	0.038	21.6913	1032.55	0.017	2.36	877.25
70	2430	23.6	41.2	64638.00	0.010	43.7324	1164.63	0.018	1.16	877.27
71	2800	62.0	35.7	173600.00	0.022	16.6485	1031.67	0.006	3.08	877.27
72	1400	50.0	71.4	70000.00	0.036	20.6417	1031.67	0.015	2.47	877.27
73	2382	35.9	42.0	85513.80	0.015	28.7489	1032.54	0.012	1.77	877.27
74	1450	62.0	69.0	89900.00	0.043	16.6465	1032.60	0.012	3.06	877.27
75	2125	58.5	47.1	124312.50	0.028	17.6425	1032.99	0.008	2.88	877.27
76	1486	54.0	67.3	80244.00	0.036	19.1127	1032.17	0.013	2.66	877.27
77	1470	68.3	68.0	100401.00	0.046	45.1111	1031.67	0.010	3.37	877.27
78	2500	8.6	10.5	8700.00	0.001	120.0099	1029.51	0.012	4.24	877.27
79	5110	90.1	19.6	460411.20	0.018	11.4549	1033.37	0.002	4.44	877.27
80	24100	55.0	42.0	1325500.00	0.002	18.7652	1044.68	0.001	2.71	877.27




Fig.9 : Distribution of Electrical Conductivity and Hydraulic conductivity Product (K) of the Aquiferous Zone




Fig.10 : Distribution of Logarithm of Conductance Values of the Aquiferous Zone

REFERENCES

- Akaolisa CCZ, Selema AOI. A study of the sand and Gravel deposit around the permanent site of the Federal University of technology, Owerri using the vertical electrical sounding (VES) techniques. *Nigeria Journal of Physics*. 2009; 21,81- 88.
- Ekine AS, Iheonunekwu EN. Geoelectric Survey for Groundwater in Mbaitoli Local Government Area, Imo State Nigeria. *Scientia Africana*. 2007; 6(1): 39-48.
- Ekine AS. *Fundamentals of Applied Geophysics*. Peare Publishers. Port Harcourt Nigeria. 2010; 35-36.
- Ekwe AC, Onu MN, Onuoha KM. Estimation of aquifer hydraulic characteristics from electrical sounding data; the case of middle Imo River Basin Aquifer South Eastern Nigeria. *Journal of Spacial Hydrology*. 2006; 6, 121-131.
- Hemkler C.J. Schlumberger Automatic analysis version 0.92, serial No. 586 for the free University, Amsterdam. Copyright (c) C.J. Hemkler Ele and Sgracht 83 Amsterdam. 1985.
- IWADA. Imo Water Development Agency Documentary on Groundwater Resources Potential of Imo State, Nigeria (Unpublished). 2002; 20-50.
- Kogbe CA. *Geology of Nigeria*. 2nd revised edition. Rock View (Nigeria) limited Jos, Nigeria. 1989; 325-333.
- Logan J. Estimating transmissivity from routine production tests of wells. *Groundwater*. 1964; 2, 35-37.
- Maduagwu GN. Water Resources Potential (Unpublished). Hydrogeological documentary, Imo State Public Utilities Board Owerri. 1990; 1-12.
- Mbonu PDC, Ebeiro JO, Ofoegbu CO, Ekine AS. Geoelectric sounding for the determination of aquifer characteristics in parts of the Umuahia area of Nigeria. *Geophysics*. 1990; 56(2): 284-291.
- Njoku I. Borehole Water Supply and Time (unpublished), Imo State Public Utilities Board documentary. 1991; 5-10.
- Niwas S, Singhal DI. Estimation of Aquifer transmissivity from Dar Zarouk Parameters in Porous Media. *Journal of Hydrology*. 1981; 50, 393-399.
- Nwosu LI, Nwankwo CN, Ekine AS. Correlation of Self Potential (SP) and resistivity Survey Methods for Evaluation of Groundwater Potentials; Case Study of Imo State, Nigeria. *Applied Physics Research*. 2011; 3(1): 100 – 109.
- Onyeagocha AC. *Petrography* and Depositional Environment of the Benin Formation. *Journal of Mining Geology*. 1980; 17(2): 147 – 151.
- Oseji JO, Atajepo EA, Okolie, EC. Geoelectric investigation of the aquifer characteristics and groundwater potential in Kwele Delta State Nigeria. *Journal of applied Science and Environmental management*. 2005; 9(1): 157 – 160.
- Rijks Waterstaat. Standard Graphs for resistivity prospecting. Swets and Zeithinger, B.V. The Netherlands. 1975.

650
651 Short KC, Stauble AJ. Outline of geology of Niger Delta. Amer, soc. Petr. Geol. Bull. 1976; 51,
652 76-79.
653
654 Vingoe P. Electrical Resistivity Survey, ABEM Geophysics and Electronics. Geophysical
655 Memorandum. 1972; 5/72, 1-15.
656
657 Zhody AAR. The auxiliary point method of electrical sounding interpretation and its relationship
658 to the Zarouk parameters. Geophysics. 1965; 30. 664 660.
659