SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	**2013_BJAST_6229**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Influence of cropping pattern systems on incidence of Scab and Septoria leaf spot disease of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*)**

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	**Authors' response to final evaluator's comments**
The Author responded OK in every comment but did not work on the important comments and instead the revised paper looks the same with the same mistakes, otherwise the author should have indicated as to why the comment has not been worked upon rather than accepting by OK and not doing anything. **Compulsory revision comment 3 and 4** **3. In the first paragraph of the Results section the author has repeated ...irrespective of cropping patterns and the cowpea variety used...the cowpea part, there is only one cowpea variety so the sentence is basically wrong** **4. Decreasing order means arranged from high to low; here the author didn't change anything and yet didn't explain why he didn't change, far as the signs are fine but saying decreasing order it should really reflect decreasing or else the author should just say in the order.... instead of ...in decreasing order of...** **These two comments are very important and they need to be corrected if not properly explained. I don't want the paper rejected but the author should really observe these that is why they were in the compulsory comments, i.e. they must be worked upon.**	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer