



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_9887
Title of the Manuscript:	Coag-flocculation approach to removal of colloidal particles from brewery waste water using okra seed extract
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The methodology is obscure. The authors should first build the coagulation diagram. They only presented the results, and not discussed. They should also confront the results with literature. They should have presented the characterization of the effluent and the okra seed extract. It is necessary to present the gradient velocity of rapid and slow mixing and not rpm. The operation of transferring the flocculated suspension into a cylinder breaks the flocs. The real problem of this paper is the methodology. As the author agrees ("may be I have not done much on literature survey"). The lack of compliance with methodology compromises the results, and because of this this paper must be rejected.	(All corrections is highlighted in turquoise color) Thank sir for the observations and comments, sir almost all the work done on coagulation-flocculation don't have coagulation diagram on them, or may be I have not done much on literature survey. The characterization of the effluent and okra seed extract is presented in Tables 1 and 2 and highlighted. For the gradient velocity, the work was a batch experiment using magnetic stirrer without blades (them, it is not conventional jar test apparatus as Material and Methods item). The transferring of the suspension after slow mixing was done at an angle that will not affect flocs (this is a big methodological mistake. We cannot pour (split) flocculated water.).
Minor REVISION comments	I am not a native English speaker, but this paper need a good English revision.	
Optional/General comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer