

Application of Rice Husk as Water Treatment Agent and Soil Conditioner

Terri Zhuan Ean Lee¹, Nurul Aida Lu Mohd Irwan Lu¹, Nur Nadhirah Mohd. Razak², Mohd Effendi Wasli², Murtedza Mohamed¹, Siong Fong Sim^{1,*}

ABSTRACT

Aims: Rice husk was chemically treated to be used as biosorbent for removal of humic substances from peat swamp runoff. The spent biosorbent was then applied as soil conditioner for enhancing plant growth.

Study design: Randomized Complete Block Design.

Place and Duration of Study: Universiti Malaysia Sarawak, between September 2012 and August 2013.

Methodology: Rice husk was chemically treated with sodium hydroxide and citric acid. The treated rice husk was subjected to peat swamp leachate to absorb humic substances. It was then applied to grow *Brassica juncea* var. *rugosa* L. in six different treatments, each with six replicates, using Randomized Complete Block Design.

Results: Fourier Transform Infrared spectra of rice husk were characterized by major absorption bands attributable to lignocellulosic compounds. The treatment process primarily removed lignin and hemicellulose increasing the binding sites for adsorption. An average of 60-70% of humic content was removed from peat swamp runoff, determined based on the absorbance at 465 nm. The humic-loaded biosorbent was applied to grow *Brassica juncea rugosa* L. under six different treatments to examine its effectiveness in enhancing plant growth. These include planting media only (sand and topsoil at a ratio of 3:2), [planting media + fertilizer], [planting media + humic-modified rice husk + fertilizer], [planting media + modified rice husk + fertilizer], [planting media + raw rice husk], [planting media + raw rice husk + fertilizer]. Results showed that plants grown in humic-loaded modified rice husk in combination with fertilizer exhibited significant growth improvement followed by those grown in raw rice husk and fertilizer. Others were relatively less promising suggesting that humic fortified biomass may function as soil conditioner in improving nutrient uptake.

Conclusion: The application of rice husk for water treatment and agriculture is an economically sustainable and environmental friendly approach.

Keywords: Chemically treated rice husk; water treatment; humic substances; adsorption; biosorbents; soil conditioner

1. INTRODUCTION

The production of paddy has increased over the past many years due to the high demands for food supply. Upon the high production of paddy, a huge amount of by-product, typically

rice husk is generated. In Malaysia, approximately 562 600 tonnes of rice husk is produced annually [1]. The rice husk biomass is fundamentally composed of lignin, cellulose and hemicellulose with diverse functional groups such as hydroxyl, carbonyl, carboxylic, ether and etc. They have the ability to form bonding and interaction with ions presents in natural water serving as potential biosorbents [2] nonetheless the biomass in its untreated state is often not readily functional. It releases organic substances giving rise to high total organic carbon thus low adsorption ability [3]. To enhance their adsorption performance, various chemical modification approaches involving alteration in the cross-linking of biomass and removal of cellulose and hemicellulose are incorporated [4]. To date, numerous biomass derived adsorbent has been used to remove organic and inorganic contaminants with removal percentages ranging between 80% and 99% [5-10]. The application does not limit to laboratory study, it has also been extended to pilot and process scale. [11-12].

In this study, rice husk was chemically modified to serve as biosorbent, aiding at harvesting humic substances from organic rich water where the spent sorbent was subsequently used to facilitate plant growth. The rationale of the study is that dissolved organic fractions, often known as humic substances, are found in considerable amount in natural water typically peat swamp runoff. The beneficial effects of humic substances in improving nutrient retention, increasing germination and stimulating root growth have been well established [13] nevertheless their presence in water causes characteristic yellowish to brownish color and unpleasant odor. Peat swamp tainted river is an important source of drinking water. In the conventional water treatment system, the humic content is coagulated with alum yielding sludge containing high aluminium, unsuitable for further use. With rice husk biosorbent, humic compounds can be harvested and converted into value-added product for agricultural purpose as soil conditioner. In this paper, we report the preparation and characterisation of raw and modified rice husk, application of the material for adsorption of humic substances and as soil conditioner for enhancing plant growth.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Sample preparation

Rice husk was washed thoroughly under running tap water to remove dirt and foreign matters. The washed rice husk was oven dried at 105 °C and stored in air-tight container for further use.

2.2 Citric acid treatment

Rice husk was pre-treated with 0.5 M NaOH (1:3 w/v) in a water bath at 80 °C for 2 hours. They were washed, oven dried and further treated with 2.0 M citric acid at 80 °C for 2 hours. The treated rice husk was then washed to pH 3 and oven dried.

2.3 Functional groups and morphological characterization

2.3.1 Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR)

All spectra of raw and modified rice husk were obtained on a Thermo Scientific FTIR system (Thermo Nicolet Analytical Instruments, Madison, WI) in triplicates using the KBr disc method with 2 mg sample in 100 mg KBr. The spectra range between 4000 and 400 cm⁻¹ at a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹. The spectra were analyzed semi-quantitatively where the relative abundance of absorption bands were compared to identify the changes before and after treatment [14-17].

2.3.2 Scanning electron microscope (SEM)

The morphological characteristics were observed using a Scanning Electron Microscope (Model JEOL JSM-6390LA, Japan) with an accelerating voltage of 5kV at ×500 magnification. The samples were coated with thin film of platinum prior to examination.

2.4 Adsorption of humic substances

A total of 1 g treated rice husk was agitated with 20 mL of water collected from the Asa Jaya River at 130 rpm for 15 min. Note that the river receives dark colored runoff from the adjacent peat swamp forest; prior to treatment, the river water was filtered through 0.45 µm membrane filter. The mixture was then eluted through a glass column at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min to separate the biomass. The experimental conditions including dosage, contact time and flow rate were optimized using the one-factor-at-a-time approach with six replicates each experiment. The optimization may be alternatively performed according to the full factorial design. Comparatively, it is cheaper and less time consuming than the traditional approach; in addition, the interaction between factors can be systematically evaluated permitting more efficient optimization [17].

The removal efficiency was determined based on the absorbance at 465 nm using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer [17]. The wavelength is the characteristic of humic fraction that introduces color to water and absorbs radiation in the visible region. Fundamentally, the absorbance is proportional to the concentration of dissolved organic carbon. If the organic matter is successfully removed, a reduction in absorbance is anticipated. The percentage of absorbance reduction against the absorbance of untreated water is calculated to suggest the removal percentage. The results are summarized in Table 1.

99
100

Table 1. Optimization of operating conditions

Factors	Parameters	Mean Absorbance	Percentage of Removal (%)
Dosage (mL)	20	0.030 ± 0.001 ^a	60.12 %
	40	0.044 ± 0.001 ^b	41.78 %
	60	0.050 ± 0.001 ^{cd}	33.71 %
	80	0.049 ± 0.001 ^c	35.96 %
	100	0.051 ± 0.001 ^d	32.98 %
Contact Time (min)	15	0.040 ± 0.001 ^a	47.68 %
	30	0.056 ± 0.001 ^b	26.86 %
	60	0.031 ± 0.001 ^c	59.60 %
	90	0.027 ± 0.001 ^d	64.12 %
	120	0.026 ± 0.001 ^d	65.50 %
Flow Rate (mL/min)	1	0.025 ± 0.001 ^a	67.15 %
	2	0.034 ± 0.001 ^b	55.81 %
	7	0.031 ± 0.001 ^c	58.82 %
	15	0.032 ± 0.001 ^{bc}	58.04 %

* Different letters in mean absorbance of each parameter for different factors indicating significant difference at P = 0.05 using Tukey's test.

101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116

2.5 Pot trials

Pot trials were performed to evaluate the effectiveness of humic-loaded biosorbent as soil conditioner in improving plant growth using Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD). *Brassica juncea* var. *rugosa* L. were planted into six treatments, each with six replicates, as summarized in Table 2. The planting media was sand and topsoil at a ratio of 3:2. For treatments involving fertilizers, NPK fertilizers were applied in split application with the dosage recommended by Shekhawat et al. [19]. The amount of humic composite applied was estimated and adapted from Rajpar et al. [20]. Note that all treatments were monitored under controlled environment i.e., water, light and pest control. The plant growth parameters including length of stem, leaf area and number of leaves were recorded once every fortnight. At the end of the pot trial, the dry matter and root-to-shoot ratio of harvested *Brassica juncea* var. *rugosa* L. were measured to determine the physiological growth of the tested plants.

Table 2. Treatments for pot trials

117

Treatment	Details on plant growth media for each treatment
T1	Control (Planting media only)
T2	Planting media + NPK Fertilizer
T3	Planting media + Modified rice husk (with humic)
T4	Planting media + Modified rice husk (with humic) + NPK fertilizer
T5	Planting media + Raw rice husk
T6	Planting media + Raw rice husk + NPK fertilizer

118

119

120

2.6 Data analysis

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

133

134

3.1 Characterization of rice husk biosorbents

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148


149

150

151

Potential adsorbents usually contain porous spaces where the adsorption process is typically influenced by their surface area and polarity [21]. Raw biomasses are often not ready to function as biosorbent as their active functional groups are embedded within lignin and hemicellulose. Alkali and acid pretreatments are incorporated to break down lignin and hydrolyze cellulose and hemicellulose, increasing the binding sites. Figure 1 illustrates the FTIR profiles of raw and treated rice husk. Fundamentally, the spectra are characterized by several major absorption bands attributable to the presence of lignocellulosic compounds at 3400 cm^{-1} , $2920\text{--}2850\text{ cm}^{-1}$, $1700\text{--}1600\text{ cm}^{-1}$, 1511 cm^{-1} and 1157 cm^{-1} . The absorption band at 1157 cm^{-1} is the characteristic of glycosidic linkages (C-O-C ring vibrational stretching), commonly found in all spectra of biomasses. The bands at $1700\text{--}1600\text{ cm}^{-1}$ and 3400 cm^{-1} are typically assigned to carbonyl and hydroxyl groups whilst the presence of lignin is represented by the band at 1511 cm^{-1} , an indicative of C=C stretching vibrations of aromatic rings [22]. The FTIR spectra, deconvoluted with the peak detection approach, produced a peak table allowing multivariate analysis with PCA. The scores plot of PC2 vs PC1 in Figure 2 shows that untreated and treated samples are noticeably distinguishable implying that the treatment has resulted in considerable changes.


152
153



154

Figure 1. The FTIR spectra of raw and modified rice husk

155
156
157




158

Figure 2. The PCA scores plot of raw and modified rice husk

159
160
161
162


163 The changes are depicted based on the relative abundance of some characteristic bands of
 164 lignocellulosic compounds according to sample types as shown in Figure 3. The band at
 165 1157 cm^{-1} and 3400 cm^{-1} are markedly reduced suggesting hydrolysis of glycosidic and OH
 166 bonds. The absorbance at 1420 cm^{-1} and 894 cm^{-1} are indicative of crystalline and
 167 amorphous properties of cellulose; it is demonstrated that treated rice husk is represented by
 168 a smaller ratio of A₁₄₂₀/A₈₉₄ indicating an increase in amorphous cellulose due to distortion
 169 [23]. In addition, the band of lignin at 1511 cm^{-1} is found to diminish after NaOH pretreatment
 170 inferring the degradation of lignin. The band is re-introduced and shifted to 1514 cm^{-1} after
 171 citric acid treatment, possibly due to the presence of lignin-carbohydrate complex material,
 172 known as pseudo-lignin. This compound is a result of the reaction between residual lignin
 173 and cellulose/ hemicelluloses in acidic condition [24-25]. Besides, re-condensation and
 174 deposition of lignin on the cellulose surface may also explain the reappearance of lignin
 175 band in treated rice husk [26]. The band at 1053 cm^{-1} and 1456 cm^{-1} , assigned to methoxyl-
 176 O-CH₃, is found abundantly in lignin and hemicellulose [27]; it is likewise diminished after
 177 treatment corroborating delignification and dissolution of hemicellulose.
 178



179 **Figure 3: The relative abundance of some absorption bands before and after**
 180 **treatment**
 181
 182

183 The micrographs of raw rice husk and treated rice husk are shown in Figure 4.
 184 Morphologically, the raw rice husk shows well-defined rigid structure with conical-shaped
 185 protrusion on its surface. The protrusions are degraded after NaOH pretreatment where
 186 rough surface with minimal fractures is observed suggesting removal of extractives, waxes
 187 and oils from the surface. Addition of citric acid to NaOH treated biomass further

188 demonstrates prominent fractured on the outer surface area exposing the inner layer
189 membranes.
190



191 **Figure 4. SEM images of raw and treated rice husk**

192 3.2 Biosorbents for water treatment

194 Treated rice husk was employed to remove humic substances from peat swamp runoff,
195 evidenced by reduction in absorbance. An average of 60-70% of removal is demonstrated
196 using treated rice husk, statistically different from raw rice husk with no adsorption ability (P
197 = 0.05) on the contrary introduces additional color suggesting leaching of tannin and lignin
198 compounds.

199 3.3 Biosorbents for soil amendment

200 Table 3 shows the growth performance of *Brassica juncea* var. *rugosa* L. grown under six
201 different treatments. Statistical analysis indicates that there are significant differences in
202 plants grown in T1 (planting material only) and T4 (planting material + Modified rice husk +
203 humic + NPK fertilizer) throughout the experiment for leaf area, number of leaves and length
204 at 95 % confidence interval (P = 0.05). The growth performance of T1 (planting material
205 only), T2 (planting material + fertilizer), T3 (planting material + humic loaded modified rice
206 husk) and T5 (topsoil + raw rice husk) however are not significantly different at each
207 observation period. The results show that under poor sandy soil, no improved plant growth is
208 evidenced even with fertilizer; this may imply that the available nutrients are unable to be
209 taken up efficiently. The plants grown in modified rice husk with humic substances and
210 added fertilizer grew better than plants grown in untreated rice husk, with and without
211 fertilizer. This appears to suggest that humic substances may have served as a carrier to
212 enhance the uptake of nutrients hence promoting its growth in sandy soil.

213
214
215

216
217
218

Table 3. Growth parameters of *Brassica juncea* var. *rugosa* L. measured through 8 weeks


Growth Parameters	Treatment	Observations			
		Week 2	Week 4	Week 6	Week 8
Length of leaves (cm)	T1	0.50 ± 0.06 ^a	1.50 ± 0.82 ^a	2.33 ± 0.61 ^a	3.00 ± 0.42 ^a
	T2	0.50 ± 0.06 ^a	3.60 ± 0.51 ^b	5.48 ± 0.90 ^b	5.68 ± 0.98 ^b
	T3	0.55 ± 0.14 ^a	1.62 ± 0.62 ^a	2.93 ± 0.56 ^a	2.95 ± 0.54 ^a
	T4	1.12 ± 0.22 ^b	6.82 ± 0.63 ^c	8.37 ± 1.07 ^c	8.63 ± 1.18 ^c
	T5	0.55 ± 0.24 ^a	1.18 ± 0.48 ^a	1.18 ± 0.48 ^a	1.98 ± 1.04 ^a
	T6	1.42 ± 0.21 ^b	4.93 ± 0.37 ^d	4.93 ± 0.37 ^b	6.20 ± 0.80 ^b
Leaf Area (cm ³)	T1	0.92 ± 0.08 ^a	2.07 ± 0.39 ^a	2.35 ± 0.31 ^a	2.72 ± 0.60 ^a
	T2	1.07 ± 0.39 ^{ab}	2.85 ± 0.58 ^a	4.17 ± 1.55 ^a	4.60 ± 2.01 ^{ab}
	T3	1.17 ± 0.45 ^{ab}	1.60 ± 0.28 ^a	1.78 ± 0.33 ^a	1.78 ± 0.33 ^a
	T4	1.55 ± 0.29 ^{bc}	8.87 ± 1.63 ^b	9.38 ± 2.39 ^b	13.02 ± 2.71 ^c
	T5	0.87 ± 0.19 ^a	2.35 ± 0.61 ^a	2.47 ± 0.53 ^a	2.47 ± 0.53 ^a
	T6	1.70 ± 0.14 ^c	6.90 ± 2.04 ^b	7.25 ± 2.57 ^b	7.67 ± 2.79 ^b
Number of Leaves	T1	2.00 ± 0.00 ^a	3.17 ± 0.98 ^a	3.17 ± 0.75 ^a	3.33 ± 0.52 ^a
	T2	2.00 ± 0.00 ^a	4.50 ± 1.52 ^{abc}	4.17 ± 0.98 ^{abc}	5.17 ± 1.47 ^{ab}
	T3	2.00 ± 0.00 ^a	4.00 ± 0.00 ^{abc}	3.83 ± 0.41 ^{ab}	3.83 ± 0.41 ^a
	T4	2.33 ± 0.52 ^b	5.50 ± 0.55 ^c	5.17 ± 0.41 ^{bc}	7.83 ± 1.83 ^c
	T5	2.00 ± 0.00 ^a	3.33 ± 1.03 ^{ab}	3.67 ± 0.52 ^a	4.33 ± 0.82 ^{ab}
	T6	2.33 ± 0.52 ^a	4.83 ± 0.75 ^{bc}	5.33 ± 1.21 ^c	5.83 ± 0.41 ^b

* Different letters in each treatment for growth parameters at each observation period indicating significant difference at $P = 0.05$ using Tukey's test.

Figure 5 illustrates the dry matter and root-to-shoot ratio of *Brassica juncea* var. *rugosa* L.; plants grown in modified rice husk with humic substances and fertilizer illustrate the highest dry matter percentage that is statistically different from those planted in T2 and T6 (under conditions with fertilizer alone and fertilizer and raw rice husk, respectively). This is in agreement to the findings of Rao et al. [28] that dry matter yield increases with application of humic acid. Other studies further demonstrate that humic substances have the ability to

219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228

enhance plant growth with less fertilizer; the beneficial effects of combining humic acid and fertilizer was evidenced with improved growth and yield of green mustard [29]. Plants grown in raw rice husk and fertilizer also exhibit encouraging growth though not as good as that with humic composite and fertilizer; the positive performance may be associated to the nature of lignocellulosic biomass as a potent soil stabilizer. After eight weeks, it is evidenced that plants grown in humic and fertilizer are better than that grown in fertilizer alone (T2 and T6). This suggests that the humic loaded biomass may be used as soil conditioner to facilitate nutrients retention nevertheless the beneficial effects in promoting plant growth would require further long-term experimentation and testing on various types of plant species.



240

241 **Figure 5. Dry matter and root-shoot ratio of *Brassica juncea* var. *rugosa* L. under**
 242 **different treatment**

243

244

245

246 4. CONCLUSION

247

248 The application of rice husk for water treatment and agriculture is an economically
 249 sustainable and environmental friendly approach. Rice husk was chemically treated,
 250 experiencing removal of lignin and hemicellulose hence enhancing the adsorption ability of
 251 the material. It was used to remove humic substances from water tainted by peat swamp
 252 runoff where the spent sorbent was recycled as soil conditioner. Results show that *Brassica*
 253 *juncea* var. *rugosa* L. grown under rice husk fortified with humic compounds and fertilizer is
 254 encouraging.

255

256

257 REFERENCES

258

- 259 [1] Kartini K., Mahmud BH, Hamidah MS. Improvement on mechanical properties of rice
 260 husk ash concrete with superplasticizer. The International Conference of
 261 Construction and Building Material. 2008; 20: 221-230.
- 262 [2] Reddy DHK, Seshiah K, Reddy AVR, Lee SM. Optimization of Cd (II), Cu (II) and
 263 Ni (II) biosorption by chemically modified *Moringa oleifera* leaves powder. Carbohydr
 264 Polym. 2012; 88: 1077-1086.
- 265 [3] Nakajima A, Sakaguchi T. Recovery and removal of uranium by using plant wastes.
 266 Biomass. 1990; 21(1): 55-63.
- 267 [4] Wan Ngah WS, Hanafiah MAKM. Removal of heavy metal ions from wastewater by
 268 chemically modified plant wastes as adsorbents: A review. Bioresource Technol.
 269 2008; 99(10): 3935-3948.

- 270 [5] Chuah TG, Jumasiah A, Azni I, Katayon S, Choong TSY. Rice husk as a potentially
271 low-cost biosorbent for heavy metal and dye removal: An overview. *Desalination*.
272 2005; 175(3): 305-316.
- 273 [6] Kayal N, Sinhia PK, Kundu D. Application of chemically modified rice husk for the
274 removal of heavy metals from aqueous solution. *J Environ Sci Eng*. 2010; 52(1): 15-
275 18.
- 276 [7] Nhapi I, Banadda N, Murenzi R, Sekomo CB, Wali UG. Removal of heavy metals
277 from industrial wastewater using rice husks. *TOENVIEJ*. 2011; 4: 170-180.
- 278 [8] Sobhanardakani S, Parvizimosaed H, Olyaie E. Heavy metals removal from
279 wastewaters using organic solid waste-rice husk. *Environ Sci Pollut R*. 2013; 20(8):
280 5265-5271.
- 281 [9] Ali I. The quest for carbon active adsorbent substitutes: Inexpensive adsorbents for
282 toxic metal ions removal from wastewater. *Sep Purif Rev* 2010; 39(3-4): 95-171.
- 283 [10] Ali I, Asim M, Khan T. Low cost adsorbents for the removal of organic pollutants
284 from wastewater. *J Environ Manage* 2012; 113: 170-183.
- 285 [11] Ali I. Water treatment at adsorption columns: Evaluation at ground level. *Sep Purif*
286 *Rev* 2014; 43(3): 175-205.
- 287 [12] Ali I. Advances in water treatment by adsorption technology. *Nature London* 2006; 1:
288 2661-2667.
- 289 [13] Ali AH, Shafeek MR, Asmaa MR, El-Desuki M. Effect of various levels of organic
290 fertilizer and humic acid on the growth and roots quality of turnip plants (*Brassica*
291 *rapa*). *Curr Sci Inter*. 2014; 3(1): 7-14.
- 292 [14] Sim SF, Mohamed M, Mohd Irwan Lu NAL, Sarman NSP, Samsudin SNS.
293 Computer-assisted analysis of Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectra for
294 characterization of various treated and untreated agriculture biomass.
295 *BioResources*. 2012; 7(4): 5367-5380.
- 296 [15] Sim SF, Ting W. An automated approach for analysis of Fourier Transform Infrared
297 (FTIR) spectra of edible oils. *Talanta*. 2012; 88: 537-543.
- 298 [16] Sim SF, Mohd Irwan Lu NAL, Lee ZET, Mohamed M. Removal of Dissolved Organic
299 Carbon from Peat Swamp Runoff Using Assorted Tropical Agriculture Biomass.
300 *Pertanika J Sci Technol*. 2014a; *In Press*.
- 301 [17] Czitrom V. One factor at a time versus designed experiment. *Am Stat*; 1999;
302 53(2):126-131.
- 303 [18] Sim SF, Lee TZE, Mohd Irwan Lu NAL, Mohamed M. Modified coconut copra
304 residue as a low-cost biosorbent for adsorption of humic substances from peat
305 swamp runoff. *BioResources*; 2014b; 9(1): 952-968.
- 306 [19] Shekhawat K, Rathore SS, Premi OP, Kandpal BK, Chauhan JS. Advances in
307 Agronomic Management of Indian Mustard (*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czernj. Cosson):
308 An Overview. *Int J Agron*. 2012; Article ID 408284:14 pages.
309 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2012/408284>.
- 310 [20] Rajpar I, Bhatti MB, Zia-ul-hassan Shah AN, Tunio SD. Humic acid improves growth,
311 yield and oil content of *Brassica campestris* L. *Pak J Agric*. 2011; 27: 125-13.
- 312 [21] Mtui GYS. Recent advances in pretreatment of lignocellulosic wastes and production
313 of value added products. *Afr J Biotechnol*. 2009; 8(8): 1398-1415.
- 314 [22] Adapa PK, Schonenau LG, Canam T, Dumonceaux T. Quantitative analysis of
315 lignocellulosic components of non-treated and steam exploded barley, canola, oat
316 and wheat straw using Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy. *J Agric Sci*
317 *Technol*. 2011; B 1: 177-188.
- 318 [23] Fan M, Dai D, Huang B. Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy for natural fibres.
319 In: Salih SM, editor. *Fourier Transform-Materials Analysis: In-Tech*; 2012.
- 320 [24] Li JB, Henriksson G, Gellerstedt G. Lignin depolymerisation /repolymerization and
321 its critical role for delignification of aspen wood by steam explosion. *Bioresource*
322 *Technol*. 2007; 98(16): 3061-3068.

- 323 [25] Hu F, Jung S, Ragauskas A. Pseudo-lignin formation and its impact on enzymatic
324 hydrolysis. *Bioresource Technol.* 2012; 117: 7-12.
- 325 [26] Ibrahim MM, El-Zawawy WK, Abdel-Fattah YR, Soliman NA. Comparison of alkaline
326 pulping with steam explosion for glucose production from rice straw. *Carbohydr*
327 *Polym.* 2012; 83(2): 720-726
- 328 [27] Yang H, Yan R, Chen H, Lee DH, Zheng C. Characteristics of hemicellulose,
329 cellulose and lignin pyrolysis. *Fuel.* 2007; 86(12-13): 1781-1788.
- 330 [28] Rao VM, Govindasamy R, Chanderasekaran S. Effect of humic acid on Indian
331 mustard (*Brassica juncea*), var. SH-9. *Curr Sci.* 2006; 6: 173-176.
- 332 [29] Petrus AC, Ahmed OH, Muhamad AMN, Nasir HM, Jiwan M. Effect of K-N-humates
333 on dry matter production and nutrient use efficiency of maize in Sarawak, Malaysia.
334 *The Scientific World Journal.* 2012; 10: 1282-1292.
- 335
- 336