

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

**Accessibility and Flow
of Agricultural Commodity in
Orire Local Government Area of Oyo State, Nigeria**

9 ABSTRACT

10 The study examined accessibility and flow of agricultural commodity in a rural
11 area of Nigeria with a view to determining the effect of transportation on agricultural
12 development in the area. The data used were collected from one hundred randomly
13 selected farmers from five major market centres in the Local Government Area. The road
14 network of the study area was also mapped to determine the level of connectivity of the
15 area. The study showed that settlements in the area are poorly connected. This factor
16 coupled with the deplorable condition of the rural roads have hampered steady evacuation
17 of agricultural produce to the market centres. Movement of agricultural commodities to
18 urban centres was concentrated on the few good roads available using lorries as the main
19 mode. The study therefore recommends that in order to improve the flow of agricultural
20 commodity in the local government, good roads and transport facilities should be
21 provided.

22
23 Key words: Rural roads, Agricultural commodity, farmers, market centres, transport
24 facilities.

25
26
27
28
29
30

UNDER PEER REVIEW

1
31

**Accessibility and Flow
of Agricultural Commodities in
Orire Local Government Area of Oyo State, Nigeria**

34

35 INTRODUCTION

36 The role of transport in promoting overall national development cannot be
37 overemphasized. Transportation is one of the most important factors in the development
38 process in any society[1]. It provides the arteries through which the economic
39 life stream of communities flows. This includes the people, information, raw materials
40 and finished products[2]. There is hardly any aspect of a nation's
41 development in which transport is not an essential ingredient since there is always the
42 need to collect, assemble, move or transfer and distribute people and things. Perhaps this
43 is what [3] had in mind when he declared – “there is no escape from
44 transport”.

45 Improvement in transport improves mobility. Transport is crucial in the
46 development and growth process of any nation. Good transportation generates additional
47 economic activities and makes existing ones more efficient. In an earlier study on Nigeria
48 and Ghana [4] recognised that in the economic growth of
49 underdeveloped countries, a critical factor has been the improvement of internal

50 accessibility through the expansion of transportation network. In particular, rural roads are helpful in enhancing rural productivity as well as strengthening the socio-economic, cultural and political fabrics and processes of the rural community [5].

54 In the White paper on European Transport Policy for 2001, the Commission for
55 European Communities observed that transport is a key factor in modern economies and
56 a country's transport system needs to be optimized to meet the demands of enlargement
57 and sustainable development [6]. India, in formulating its national transport policy, observed
58 the overriding importance of transport in its national development [7]. Also, in South Africa,
UNDER PEER REVIEW

59 development in transport is seen as a major catalyst to social and economic development
60 in the country [8].

61 In Nigeria, although the Rolling Plans of 1993 to 1998 appeared to have neglected
62 investment in the transport sector, the first, second and third National Development Plans
63 gave the transport sector the largest share of revenue allocation (an average of about 22.4
64 per cent), while in the fourth National Development Plan, transport received 15.2 per cent
65 in the nation's public sector investment programme [9].

The special characteristics of agricultural products such as perishability, seasonality and bulkiness depend on a flexible transportation system. [10] have opined that efficient transport system is critically important to agricultural marketing. They assert that if transport services are infrequent of poor quality or expensive, then farmers will be at a disadvantage when they attempt to sell their crops. An expensive service will naturally lead to low farm gate prices (the net price the farmer receives from selling his produce).

88 Seasonally impassable roads or slow and infrequent transport services, coupled
89 with poor storage can lead to losses as certain crops such as vegetables and milk
90 deteriorate over time. If the journey to market is made over rough roads, then crops such
91 as bananas and mangoes may suffer losses from bruising which may result in lower prices
92 to the farmer. Where efficient and high volume of transport and marketing system exist, a
93 consistent high urban and international demand of agricultural products will result. If the
94 margin between what the farmer receives from the sale of his produce and what the urban
95 consumer pays for his produce is high, then the effective demand transferred to the
96 farmer will be correspondingly reduced. Indeed the pattern of flow of agricultural commodity in any area is strongly influenced by the nature of transport services [5].

100 The problem of production and flow of agricultural commodity in Nigeria is worsened by the fact that in

101 many parts of the country, most of the rural settlements are not well connected by roads.

102 A lot of the settlements are connected by bush paths which are in some cases not large

103 enough for motor transport. Where motorable roads exist, the problem is further

104 compounded by the poor quality of the roads. They are mostly with untarred surface,

105 narrow width, circuitous alignment and impermanent bridges [11].

106

Most of the rural areas in Nigeria do not have adequate feeder roads either both within rural to farm camps, rural-rural or rural-urban. Where access roads exist they are mostly untarred and heavily eroded during the rainy season. In some cases, the bridges/culverts linking the rural areas have been damaged. All these constraint the production efforts of farmers and bulky movement of farm produce from rural to urban markets [12].

66 The rural populace constitutes more than 70 per cent in Nigeria and rural
67 resources if properly tapped will lead to a buoyant rural economy. Evidently, if there is
68 no provision for transport to convey the farm products from the rural to urban centres, the
69 cost of farm products in urban centres will be high. The objective of this paper is to
70 examine the transport factor in the flow of agricultural commodities in a rural
71 environment of Nigeria.

Statement of Hypotheses

In order to determine the association between quantity of commodities transported to the markets and market locations, a set of hypotheses were formulated. The formulation of hypotheses helps to streamline and guide the researcher in his problem of investigation. The following null hypotheses were tested:

H₀: There is no significant association between the quantity of commodities transported to the markets by the farmers and the market locations.

H₁: There is no significant association between the quantity of commodities transported from the markets to the urban centres and market locations.

74 Accessibility Problem and Agricultural Development

Transportation is a key component of agricultural development. Transportation is important because it is the means of bringing products from the rural areas to the urban centres. Even if a farmer grows enough agricultural items to sell, if there is no way to transport these products to the market, the farmer cannot sell the crops and make money. In many parts of the developing world, problems with roads and infrastructure interfere with farmers' ability to sell their goods.

For economic reasons most rural dwellers do not own any form of transportation because they cannot afford the cost of purchase. Studies in Nigeria's economies suggest that transport costs form a significant proportion of the final price of most goods – agricultural, manufactured and mining products. On the average, transport accounts for more than 30% of the value of the delivered product. This high cost is attributable to the inadequacy and inefficiency in Nigeria's transport infrastructure [13].

UNDER PEER REVIEW

129 MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study examined the characteristics of the road network of Orire local government of Oyo State and the flow of five major agricultural commodities in the study area. The five commodities selected through purposive sampling are yam, yam-flour, garri, guinea corn, maize being the predominant crops grown in the study area. Road networks connecting 20 towns were selected for the study. The towns, also selected through purposive sampling on the basis of their population, are Adafila; Ahoro Dada; Ajinapa; Alaropo; Alafori; Baba-Eko; Dawodu; Eseke; Igbori; Ikoyi-Ile; Iluju; Ipekun; Isepo; Odo-Oba; Oko-Ile; Oloka; Olokoto; Olorunda; Oolo; Tewure. Fig. 1.0 shows Orire Local Government within Oyo State while fig. 2 shows the road network of the study area.

Both primary and secondary data were used for the study. The map of the Local Government was collected from Oyo State Ministry of Lands and Housing and the road networks connecting the 20 selected settlements were extracted. This formed the source

UNDER PEER REVIEW

for the collection of data for network analysis of the study area with the 20 settlements taken as nodes and the roads connecting them as the links.

143




Fig. 1.0: Map of Oyo State Showing Orire LGA
Source: Ministry of Lands and Surveys, Oyo State




Fig. 2.0: Map of Orire LGA showing Road Network
Source: Ministry of Lands and Surveys, Oyo State

144

145

200 The representation of the topological characteristics of road networks in graph
 201 form has become a widely accepted procedure in the analysis of transportation networks.
 202 In such an approach, the network is abstracted into set of nodes (vertices) and set of
 203 edges (links) connecting the nodes. Based on this, it becomes possible to enumerate the
 204 relationships between the nodes. The information thus derived is used in constructing a
 205 set of graph theoretic indices which serve as a standard for comparison of networks
 206 [14]. The analysis of such transport network in various countries has revealed
 207 a strong correlation between levels of economic development and topological
 208 connectivity indices [15,16, 11].
 209 In Orire Local Government, the road network has been abstracted in form of a
 210 graph (fig. 4.0). In the graph, there are 35 edges (e) or links and 20 vertices (v) or nodes.
 211 In this study, the indices used are the Alpha index (α); Beta index (β) and Gamma index
 212 (γ).
 213 The alpha index (α) is a ratio based on the number of circuit in a network rather
 214 than on the number of edges. The measure is most ideal for connectivity measure because
 215 its range of definition is a closed interval with the limit of 0 and 1.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

216 The Beta index (β) expresses the number of edges in the network in relation to the
 217 number of vertices. Therefore, it may be regarded as indicative of the average number of
 218 links leading into and out of a node. The Beta index indicates that every node in the
 219 network is linked with at least two routes.
 220 The Gamma index (γ) which is usually expressed in percentage shows the ratio of
 221 the number of edges to the maximum which may exist between the number of vertices.
 222 The gamma index is bounded by 0 on the lower limit and 1 on the upper limit. The value
 223 of 1 describes completely connected networks and lesser values indicate various degrees
 224 (or percentages) of connectivity.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

146 In respect of data on the flow of agricultural products, five major functional
 147 periodic markets in the Local Government Area were selected. These markets are at
 148 Adafila; Eseke; Iluju; Odo-Oba; Tewure (fig. 3.0). The markets operate at intervals of
 149 five days. Because of the numerous items of produce taken to the markets, the five major
 150 items were selected. It is important to note that information about the flow of these
 151 products are not available on ordinary days except on market days. This is because of the
 152 rurality of the area. On the market days in question, routes in the towns leading to the
 153 markets were stayed by the survey teams employed. Information collected from the
 154 markets included (a) quantity of goods taken to the market (b) mode of transportation (c)
 155 origin of such goods. In the case of goods living the market for urban centres information
 156 were collected on the quantity of goods; mode of transportation; destination of goods.
 157 The goods of interest were counted numerically and translated into weights. Yams
 158 were counted in tubers and an average weight of 1.2kg attached to each tuber; yam flour
 159 were counted in bags of 80kg. They are normally sold in small bowls. These bowls were
 160 counted and total weights calculated. Garri was counted in bags of 50kg; guinea corn was
 161 counted in bags of 80kg and maize was also counted in bags of 80kg each (table 1.0).

162 **Table 1. Conversion Table for Five Selected Agricultural Produce**

Commodity	Unit Weight (kg)	Count Weight
Yam	1.2	Tubers
Yam-flour	80.0	Bags
Garri	50.0	Bags
Guinea-corn	80.0	Bags
Maize	80.0	Bags

163 *Source:* Orire L.G.A. Marketers

164 In all, 100 questionnaires were administered. Twenty questionnaires each for the
165 five major markets selected. On this basis, ten questionnaires were designed for the rural
166 farmers who transported their produce from farm to the markets. Ten questionnaires were

UNDER PEER REVIEW

167 also administered to the middlemen who transported the produce from markets to the
168 urban centres. The age of the people interviewed ranged from 17 – 60 years. The farmers and
middle men were selected through simple random sampling.

312 The appropriate test statistics used is the chi-square technique defined by

$$313 \chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^l \frac{(O_{ij} - E_{ij})^2}{E_{ij}}$$

314 where O_{ij} = observed frequency in category i of sample j ; E_{ij} is expected
315 frequency in category i of sample j .

316 For the required level of significance (α) the number of degrees of freedom is
317 given by $N = (k - 1)(l - 1)$

UNDER PEER REVIEW

318 Where k is the number of categories and l are the number of samples. For the
319 usual test, where one is looking for significant differences, a χ^2 value is deemed
320 significant when it is larger than the table value (i.e. when $\chi^2 \geq \chi^2_{\alpha}$).

321 The chi-square test to determine the association between the quantity of commodities transported
to the market by the farmers and the market locations showed that

UNDER PEER REVIEW

325 The χ^2_{tab} with 16 degrees of freedom at $\alpha = 0.05$ is 26.296.

326 The calculated value of χ^2 however is 495.69 which is greater than the table value at 0.05 level of
327 significance. We therefore reject the null hypothesis. Consequently, a significant association
exists

328 between the quantity of commodities transported to the markets by the farmers and the
329 market locations.

169 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

170 Road Condition

171 Roads in the Local Government can be categorised into four. These are highways,
172 surface roads; earth roads and footpaths. These roads are used for transporting
173 agricultural commodities in the Local Government. The highways are urban-urban routes
174 that link important agricultural areas or big urban centres. They are of 12 metres in width,
175 tarred and motorable throughout the seasons. This category of roads constitute 2 per cent
176 of the total road network in the study area (table 2.0). It can accommodate trailers, lorries,
177 buses and other types of vehicles.

178 The second category of roads is the surface road with a width of 8.0 metres. They
179 are mostly untarred and constitute about 30 per cent of the road network in Orire Local

180 Government. They are maintained by the Local Government. They provide rural-rural
 181 and rural-urban link connecting big villages with each other or with urban centres. This
 182 category of roads is usually muddy and not motorable during the rainy season. It can
 183 accommodate automobiles.

184 **Table 2. Measure of Road Quality in the Local Government**

Categories	Road Width (m)	Period of Motorability	Surface Condition	Percentage
1.	12.0	All seasons	Tarred	2.0
2.	8.0	All seasonal	Untarred	30.0
3.	6.0	Seasonal cut-off	Untarred	15.2
4.	3.0	Seasonal cut-off	Untarred	52.6

185 *Source: Authors' Fieldwork*

186

187

188

UNDER PEER REVIEW

189 The third category of roads is the earth road, and is of untarred but not motorable
 190 during the raining season. It connects mainly the rural settlements and mostly maintained
 191 by the local councils in conjunction with the rural communities. This category of roads
 192 constitutes about 15.2 per cent of the total road network in the Local Government. The
 193 fourth category of access is bush paths which also provide rural-rural links. The bush
 194 paths are numerous with an approximate width of 3.0 metres and they are the least
 195 developed. They are generally constructed to link villages, hamlets with their farmlands
 196 and markets. Typically, these link paths can only permit two people walking abreast. So
 197 only bicycles and motorcycles can use these roads. They constitute the dominant
 198 proportion of 52.6 per cent of the road network in the study area.

199 **Pattern of Network Accessibility**

225 Table 3.0 shows the alpha, beta and gamma values obtained for the road network
 226 of Orire Local Government.

230 The indices show that roads in Orire Local Government are poorly connected.

231 These indices have however only assisted us in assessing the effort of the communities
 232 and Local Government Council in the construction of low-grade access roads within the
 233 Local Government Area.

227 **Table 3. Connectivity Indices for Orire L.G.A. Road Network**

Index	Formula	Connectivity Value
Alpha (α)	$e^{-v+1}/_{2v-5}$	0.4
Beta (β)	$e/_v$	1.75
Gamma (γ)	$e/_{3(v-2)}$	0.64

228 *Source: The Authors*

229

234

235

236

237

238

UNDER PEER REVIEW




Fig. 3.0: Map of Orire LGA showing the Market Centres
Source: Authors' Field Survey, 2010




Fig. 4.0: Map of Oyo State Showing Orire LGA
Source: Authors' Field Survey, 2010

239
240
241
242
243
UNDER PEER REVIEW

244 Mode of Transportation

248 The farmers used four different modes to transport their produce to the markets.
249 These are (i) Bicycles (ii) Motorcycles (iii) Pick-up vans (iv) Lorries. The road types
250 dictated the mode of transport used. While 2.0 per cent of the farmers interviewed used
251 bicycles to transport their produce to the markets; 12.0 per cent were found to use
252 motorcycles. This was possible on Earth roads constructed by the Local Governments.
253 The problems with these roads, however are that the bridges and culverts along them
254 which were constructed manually were washed away during the rainy season. Examples
255 include Alatori and Tewure roads.




Fig. 5: Mode of Transportation

268 Another mode of transporting agricultural produce is by pick-up vans. This
269 constituted 62.0 percent of the mode of transportation by the rural farmers and used
270 mainly along Ikoyi-Ogbomoso road (fig. 5). For the middlemen, the mode of transporting
271 produce from the market to the urban centres are by lorries, (66.0 per cent) and pick-up
272 vans 34.0 per cent.

273 Pattern of Commodity Flow to the Markets

274 Agricultural commodity movement in Oire Local Government of Oyo State
275 shows that movement is from the areas of surplus to areas of demand. Farmers move their
276 produce from the rural settlements and farmsteads to the markets from where they are
277 transported to the urban centres.
278 The pattern of flow of agricultural commodities in Oire Local Government is as
279 shown in table 4.0. The table shows that out of the five commodity types, yam ranks first
280 constituting 79.6 per cent of the total commodities transported to the markets in the
281 region. This is followed by maize which constitutes 10.6 per cent. Garri, Guinea corn and
282 yam flour rank third, fourth and fifth in that order constituting 5.0 per cent, 3.0 per cent
283 and 1.8 per cent respectively (fig. 6.0).

84
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294




Fig. 6: Quantity of Commodities Transported to the Markets by the Farmers

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 4.0: Quantity of Commodities Transported to the Markets by the Farmers (Tonnes)

Market Locations	Yam	Yam Flour	Guinea Corn	Garri	Maize	Total	Percentage	Rank
Eseke	850	5	11	15	48	929	35.7	1
Iluju	150	6	15	40	112	323	12.4	5
Tewure	300	3	10	15	35	363	14.0	4
Odo-Oba	500	4	10	21	63	598	23.0	2
Adafila	270	29	31	39	18	387	14.9	3
Total	2070	47	77	130	276	2600	100.0	
Percentage	79.6	1.8	3.0	5.0	10.6	100.0	-	
Rank	1	5	4	3	2	-	-	

Source: Authors' Survey

Pattern of Flow of Commodities from the Market to the Urban Centres

The pattern of flow of agricultural types from the markets to urban centres outside the producing region is as shown on table 5.0. The table shows that among the five commodity types transported from the markets to urban centres, yam ranks first constituting 72.1 per cent, maize ranks second constituting 12.1 per cent while guinea corn, garri and yam flour rank third, fourth and fifth in that order constituting 6.5 per cent; 5.7 per cent and 3.6 per cent respectively.

In terms of aggregate flow of agricultural commodities from the markets to urban centres, Iluju market ranks first supplying 31.9 per cent of the flow. This is followed by Odo-Oba which supplies 26.8 per cent while Adafila, Tewure and Eseke rank third, fourth and fifth supplying 17.6 per cent, 11.9 per cent and 11.8 per cent of the total flow respectively. Commodities from Eseke market are transported outwards through Igbeiti road while those from Adafila, Tewure and Iluju are transported to Ilorin, Osogbo and Ife. Similarly, commodities from Odo-Oba market are distributed through Ogbomosho and Oyo roads to different urban centres.

344 **Table 5: Quantity of Commodities Transported from the Markets to the Urban**
 345 **Centres**
 346

Market Locations	Yam	Yam Flour	Guinea Corn	Garri	Maize	Total	Percentage	Rank
Eseke	200	7	33	10	78	328	11.8	1
Iluju	650	9	70	35	120	884	31.9	5
Tewure	250	5	17	30	27	329	11.9	4
Odo-Oba	600	10	14	40	80	744	26.8	2
Adafila	300	70	45	45	30	490	17.6	3
Total	2000	101	179	160	335	2775	100.0	
Percentage	72.1	3.6	6.5	5.7	12.1	100.0	-	
Rank	1	5	3	4	2			

347 *Source: Authors' Survey*

348

UNDER PEER REVIEW

UNDER PEER REVIEW

The chi-square test to determine the association between the quantity of commodities transported from the markets to the urban centres and market locations showed that

360 The χ^2_{tab} for $(5 - 1)(5 - 1) = 16$ degrees of freedom at $\alpha = 0.05$ is 26.296.

361 The calculated value of χ^2 is however 526.67 which is greater than the table value at

362 0.05 level of significance. We reject the null hypothesis. Hence, a significant

363 association exists between the quantity of commodities transported from the

364 markets to the urban centres and the market locations.

365 CONCLUSION

366 The study has shown that the level of road development in Orire Local

367 Government of Oyo State is generally low. This has affected the flow of agricultural

368 commodities in the Local Government. The pattern of movement of commodities from

369 rural settlements to urban centres has also been dictated by the level of road network

370 development. Commodities are generally transported to locations where they can easily

371 be distributed to urban centres outside the Local Government.

390 The conclusion from the results of this study is that poor road network development in the Local Government has affected the flow of agricultural commodities in the area.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

391 REFERENCES

- 431 1. Kadas K. Transport Policy and Regional Development: The Hungarian case.
432 Transport Policy and Decision Making 1: 84 – 91. 1980.
- 419 2. Hilling D. Transport and Developing Countries. London: Rutledge. 1996.
- 444 3. Munby DL. Transport: Selected Readings. Penguin: Harmondsworth. 1968.
- 450 4. Taaffee EJ, Morrill RL, Gould PR. Transport expansion in
451 underdeveloped countries: A comparative analysis, Geographical Review. 1963; 53 (4):
452 503-529.
5. Ademiluyi IA, Solanke MO. An appraisal of Rural Transport Situation for Sustainable
Development in Nigeria, in Ibitoye OA (ed.) Rural Environment and Sustainable Development,
Ado-Ekiti: Petoa Education Publishers 2002; 181-193.
6. Commission of the European Communities. "White Paper - European transport policy for
2010: Time to decide", Brussels, September 2001 COM (2001) 370 final. Available on:
ec.europa.eu/transport/themes/themes/strategies/2001-whitepaper_en.htm. 2001.
7. Planning Commission. Task force on integral transport policy. Available on:
planningcommission.nic.in/aboutus/taskforce/tsk_tnsprt.pdf. 2001.
8. Walters J. Overview of public transport policy in South Africa, Research in Transportation
Economics 2013; 39(1): 34-45.
9. Adeyemi O. Moving Nigeria forward: The development planning approach, Ibadan: University
Press, 2001.
- 424 10. Hine JL, Ellis SD. Agricultural Marketing and Access to Transport
425 Services. Rural Travel and Transport Programme 2001. Crowthorne, Berkshire,
426 United Kingdom: TRL Ltd. 2001.
- 394 11. Aderamo AJ, Magaji SA. Rural Transportation and the Distribution of
395 Public Facilities in Nigeria: A case of Edu Local Government Area of Kwara
396 State. Journal of Human Ecology. 2010; 29(3): 171 – 179.
12. Ogunnowo CO, Oderinde FO. Sustainable development and management of infrastructure for
effective transformation of Rural Communities in Nigeria: Implications for food security, Ozen
Journal of Social Sciences. 2012; 5(3): 87-94
13. Oni SI, Okanlawon KR. Transport planning in Nigeria: A plea for incorporating the gender
factor. Journal of Social Sciences. 2011; 29(2):177-182.
- 436 14. Kansky KJ. The Structure of Transportation Networks. University of Chicago,
437 Department of Geography, Research Paper No. 84. 1963.
- 408 15. Berry BJL. Interdependency of Flows and Spatial Structure: A General Field
409 Theory Formulation. In Essays on Commodity Flows and the Spatial Structure of
410 the Indian Economy. Chicago: University of Chicago, Department of Geography,
411 Research Paper No. 3. 1966.
- 438 16. Leung CK. The Analysis and Interpretation of National Transportation
439 Networks. Third World Planning Review. 1982; 4(2): 177 – 191.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

QUESTIONNAIRE SURVEY ON ACCESSIBILITY AND COMMODITY FLOW IN ORIRE LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF OYO STATE

Instruction: Any information given will be kept strictly confidential and will not be disclosed to anybody. It is purely for research purpose.
This questionnaire covers two sections.

SECTION A

The Rural Farmers who bring their Produce from farm to the Market

1. Age: (a) 19-25 years (b) 26-40 years (c) 40 years and above
2. Sex: (a) Male (b) Female
3. Where do you reside? (a) Village (b) Ward
4. Do you own a farm? Yes / No
5. What is the size of the farm? _____ Acre / Hectare
6. What type of crop(s) do you normally grow? _____
7. What is the average ton of your farm produce that you normally take to the market at once _____
8. How do you interact with people around you?
 - a. Market days
 - b. Occasional day
 - c. None at all
 - d. Others (specify)
9. Where do you sell your agricultural products?
 - a. Market
 - b. Within settlement
 - c. Other (specify)
10. How long does it take you from your farm to the market?
 - a. Less than an hour
 - b. More than two hours
 - c. More than three hours

11. What mode of transportation do you use in transporting your products to the markets?
- a. Head portrage b. Bicycle c. Motorcycle
 - d. Pickups e. Lorries

SECTION B

This Section concerns the Traders who Transport these Goods in bulk to Urban Centers

1. Location _____
2. Age (a) 19 – 25 years (b) 26 – 40 years (c) 40 years and above
3. Sex _____
4. What is the average ton of farm products that you normally buy for sale in urban center? _____
5. What is the distance of the market the urban center where you dispose off your products _____ in kilometers.
6. Mention main items traded with
 - (1) Yam (2) Tam flour (3) Maize (4) Guinea corn
 - (5) Garri (6) Others (specify)
7. How long does it take you from market to urban center?
 - a. Less than an hour
 - b. More than two hours
 - c. More than three hours
 - d. Above four hours
8. What mode of transportation do you use in transporting your products to urban centers?
 - (a) Car (b) Pickups (c) Bus (d) Lorries