

AN INVESTIGATION ON SILICONE AND SILICONE RUBBER STENTS IN COMPARISON WITH STAINLESS STEEL STENTS: INTRODUCING A DOUBLE LAYER STENT MODEL

Mona A. El Naggar, Asst. Prof. at Eng. Phys. & Maths. Dept., Fac. Of Eng., Cairo Univ.

ABSTRACT

A single layer of different materials; silicone, silicone rubber and steel, is investigated for stent deployment. The response of the proposed stents to the mathematical simulation of radial blood pressure waveform is studied considering their mechanical properties. The stress-strain response and the stored strain energy are computed likewise. Furthermore, a model of a double layer stent made of silicone-silicone rubber is suggested. The behavior of the introduced double layer stent model is investigated under the effect of radial pulsating pressure. The model is tested for strain response, stored energy, inertial energy and power loss. This model employs the actual available physical constants. The results indicate that silicone and silicone rubber are not only biocompatible but also eliminate possible thrombosis as well. Moreover, they are electrically compatible, since they do not contribute to heating complications induced by electromagnetic field (EMF) exposure.

Aim: Study the viscoelastic behavior of an arterial transversally deployed stent, of suggested materials namely silicone and silicone rubber to be compared to the commonly used stainless steel. A proposed double layer stent model stress-strain response is mathematically tested for pulsatile radial pressure.

Study design: Mechanical viscoelastic double layer model

Place and Duration of Study: Faculty of Engineering, Cairo Univ. April to Dec. 2013

Methodology: Computer simulation of the presented mathematical model by MapleV release 4 is carried out.

Results: Table 1 shows the results obtained employing the single layer stent model to compare mechanical response of steel, silicone and silicone rubber. They are compared to the inner two layers of the human arterial wall. Silicone and intima media layers show highest strain responses, 3.98% and 0.54% respectively and consequently largest values of stored energy. Silicone rubber and adventitia show strain responses of 0.015% and 0.000603% respectively. These results recommended the proposed design of the double layer stent. Stress-strain complex functions are represented for the each layer besides the stored energy, inertia, and power loss.

Conclusion: Results largely elect the silicone materials to replace steel regarding the strain energy that provides backward pressure. Since stored strain energy contributes to the pulsatile motion of arterial wall then the higher strain lessens susceptibility to possible thrombosis or stagnation. In other words, the pulsating stent wall enhances a vibrating motion that compensates loss of elasticity of a stiff artery. The proposed design for the double layer silicone-silicone rubber stent shows highly fluctuating time response. The

fluctuations provide adequate wall strain energy to push back and hence maintain the blood flow rate. This type of stent can be implanted in vessels suffering stiffness to overcome restenosis.

Keywords: Arterial wall, viscoelasticity, blood pressure, strain response, storage modulus, loss modulus, linear power density.

1. INTRODUCTION

To date, vascular substitutes or stents, have been used for the treatment of arterial blockage. Nevertheless, it has been reported to be a direct factor for restenosis. Estimated rate of in-stent restenosis after deployment of metallic stents in coronary arteries is about 30% [1-3]. Drug-eluting stents have been introduced to lower this effect. Stents, particular metallic ones, usually exhibit mismatch effects due to dramatic differences in mechanical properties. Compliance mismatch between natural artery and implanted metallic stents is reported to be a main possible factor of graft failure, early accumulation of thrombus and later intimal thickening. Compliance mismatch induced by arterial stenting can be reduced by better choice of stent components. Previous investigations have demonstrated that arterial wall compliance and distensibility decreases at stent level. Furthermore, possible thrombosis, usually following arterial stenting, can be reduced by higher stent elasticity [4 - 5].

Previous work showed that compliance and distensibility were significantly lower at the stent level than upstream and downstream by experimental comparison of endovascular stenting. H. Stephan et. al. [6] concluded that there is no stent with ideal physical properties. However, depending on the characteristics of the arterial lesion to be treated, the most appropriate stent can be chosen.

The above tribulations have led scientists to perform in vitro models simulating forces to evaluate quantitative mechanical deformation properties of metallic stents. Elastic and plastic deformation properties of Wallstent, Palmaz stent and Strecker stent were investigated [7]. It was concluded that a purely elastic stent such as the Wallstent is preferable in locations where permanent plastic deformation may occur. F. Flueckiger et al. [8] held comparisons among five types of expandable metal stents. They reached results that for all types of stresses, the Palmaz stent showed highest resistance. Hence they are appropriate for insertion into highly resistant obstructions whereas Wallstents have expressed unique deformation characteristics and will not function well if implanted into eccentric stenoses of tough consistency. The hoop strength has been tested for different endovascular balloon-expandable metallic stents. C. Tudor et. al. [9] have introduced a study to compare the expansion parameters of four different balloon-expandable stents in a curved stenotic phantom model. Wallstents were positioned in silicon models to study the carotid arterial flow velocities using laser Doppler anemometry [10]. Also results have shown that the flow behavior is affected by the resistance of the stent together with hemodynamically relevant changes.

To overcome some of the expected complications following stent implantation, biocompatible material coating have been introduced to stent materials. In order to lessen the thrombogenicity of the metallic stents D. Klee et. al.[11] devoted their work to coating the metallic stents with an ultra-thin polymer layer. Platelet adhesion is decreased as a result together with improvement of hemocompatibility especially after treatment with sulphur dioxide plasma. Also recent *in vivo* and *in vitro* research work has focused on materials that

will reduce encrustation and bacterial biofilm formation for uteral stents [12]. Besides, bioresorbable, expandable poly L-lactic acid stent has been designed [13]. Furthermore, a polymeric flexible aortic and mitral valve prostheses were tested in vitro for durability of PCU (Polycarbonate urethane) valves [14].

Nevertheless, the importance of the study of mechanical properties of the natural arterial layers have haunted scientists for years. They have been concerned with mechanical and mathematical simulation to produce stress-strain relation for different human soft tissues. Yu Q. et al. [15] evaluated the force-deformation relations for different layers of blood vessels by studying the non-axis symmetric deformation of the vessel wall. Young's modulus is given for the intima media and the adventitial layers of the thoracic artery. The circumferential stretch and axial stretch of abdominal arteries are given for different pressure values by Schulz-Bauer et al. [16] for both normal and hypertensive patients. They proposed a method to determine nonlinear constitutive models for human arteries from clinical data using a strain energy function. Mechanical properties are obtained by reliable experimental setups especially for different arterial layers, collagen and body tissues [17]. The degree of nonlinearity and blood vessel elasticity was studied by J. Zhou and Y. C. Fung [18] introducing a pseudo-strain energy function and fitting experimental data to produce its parameters. Furthermore the relationship between wall shear stress and intimal thickening for the abdominal aorta was determined by Micheal Bonert et al. [19], who measured experimentally the wall shear stress using the laser photochromic dye tracer technique. Imaging the mechanical properties of thrombosis, plaques and arterial wall can aid in characterizing and understanding of the pathogenesis of the cardiovascular disease. Intravascular ultrasound is a widely used method of elasticity imaging of the coronary arteries. Strain and elasticity are formulated both mathematically and experimentally to determine mechanical properties of arterial tissues [20-25].

Previous work by the author, [26-27], introduced a viscoelastic mathematical model that represents a section of a blood vessel. Some mechanical properties for two inner arterial layers, namely intima and adventitia, were hence deduced. These properties were adopted therein to reproduce elasticity moduli, both loss and storage for each layer. Stress and strain time responses were represented for these layers.

The present work introduces a stent section made of biocompatible materials that possess suitable compliance and distensibility. A comparison of silicone, silicone rubber and steel cylindrical sections with dimensions similar to those of arterial stents is held. Periodic biphasic pressure pulses simulate the radial component of the arterial pressure on the inner stent wall. Though *in vivo* reported pulses show faster rise and fall decay with asymmetry [25], they are represented here with symmetric exponential rise and fall decay functions [26]. Investigation of the three materials concerns strain time response, strain energy, power loss and inertial energy. Comparison shows better response for more elastic materials.

The present work also introduces a double layer of silicone and silicone rubber tubular section as a stent model. The introduced model is expected to reduce restenosis and enhance the upstream in its neighborhood. It is expected not only to reduce blockage, but to compensate for loss of elasticity as well. Loss of elasticity caused mainly by aging leads to hypertension and heart muscle exhaust. Besides being biocompatible, the silicone and silicone rubber are mechanically compatible as well. In other words, systolic-diastolic diameter changes are better sustained when elastic materials are employed. Hence, they represent a better choice for stent manufacture in order to overcome the compliance mismatch. Their relatively low elasticity moduli grant the advantage of being closer to the native arterial response. The calculated strain energy stored per cycle proves to greatly improve in this case. The proposed mathematical model is employed to record the stent response considering the mechanical properties, in response to blood pressure simulated input. A Fourier series represents the radial blood pressure component on the inner wall. The radial response of the stent wall is represented both mathematically and graphically

2. METHODOLOGY

Fig. 1. The single layer stent

Fig. 2. Exponential Rise and fall of the radial pressure in Pa vs.time (s)


Fig. 3. The double layer stent

2.1 SINGLE LAYER STENT

The cylindrical section under investigation, fig. 1, is 1 cm in length. The radial component of the blood pressure pulsates on the inner wall isotropically. The stent inner radius, r_1 , is 2 mm and outer radius, r_2 , is 2.1 mm. A simplified model, introduced earlier [12], simulates the viscoelastic behaviour of a single layer blood vessel in response to radial blood pulses acting on its inner wall. The single layer stent is treated as a parallel dashpot-spring system to simulate the viscoelastic behavior [25]. The mechanical representation, is analogous to an electrical circuit (appendix).

The model is tested for three different materials namely, silicone, silicone rubber and stainless steel. The circuit transfer function depends greatly on the elasticity Hooke's constant, K , viscosity coefficient, B , and mass density ρ_l , summed up in Table 1. Though real pressure pulses are of asymmetric nature [25], they are assumed to be biphasic symmetric pulses. The model assumes almost the same time interval as natural pulses where periodic time, $2T$, is 1 s. The exponential rise and decay pulse components are assumed to have equal decay constants. A Fourier series is generated to represent the radial pressure, $P_r(t)$, shown in fig. 2, [27].

$$P_r(t) = \frac{2}{\pi} P_0 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (e^{-\pi} (\cos n\pi - 1) - (\cos n\pi + 1)) \cos \frac{n\pi t}{T} + \frac{1}{n} (e^{-\pi} (\cos n\pi - 1) - (\cos n\pi + 1))$$



2.2 DOUBLE LAYER STENT

The double layer viscoelastic model introduced earlier [27] is extended for further study. The silicone and silicone rubber double layer stent is represented in fig. 3 as a double layer uniaxial cylindrical section. The inner radius of the silicone layer, r_1 , is assumed to be 2 mm. The outer radius of the silicone rubber layer, r_3 , is 2.4 mm. Each layer is assumed to be 0.2 mm of thickness. The response of both layers is studied simultaneously. The double layer silicone and silicone rubber stent is treated as a double section R-L-C circuit (appendix) equivalent to the mechanical viscoelastic model. The transfer function, $Y(s)$, depends on the mechanical properties of both layers. These are summed up in Table 2.

3. MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS

The mathematical representation of pressure pulses, $P_r(t)$, described by eq. 1 is employed in the viscoelastic model as the input pressure on r_1 , assuming maximum value P_0 , to be 10% of the average blood arterial pressure in adults. Analogy between the mechanical system and the equivalent electrical circuit is shown in appendix. It is noteworthy that the stress on a specific wall is the difference between the pressures across its boundaries. In other words, thus the stress on the inner layer is : $T_1(t) = P_1(t) - P_2(t)$, while that on the outer layer is: $T_2(t) = P_2(t) - P_3(t)$. $P_1(t) = P_r(t)$, $P_2(t)$ is the pressure on the interface between the two layers and P_3 is that on the outer boundary. Consequently, the strain functions are calculated as: $\epsilon_1(t) = \Delta r_1(t)/r_1(t)$, $\epsilon_2(t) = \Delta r_2(t)/r_2(t)$ for respective layers. The second order differential equations that relate the strain functions, $\epsilon_1(t)$ and $\epsilon_2(t)$ of both layers to the inertial forces acting on them are as follows depending on the mechanical properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 \epsilon_1(t)}{dt^2} &= F_1(t) - B_1 \frac{d\epsilon_1(t)}{dt} - K_1 \epsilon_1(t) \\ \frac{d^2 \epsilon_2(t)}{dt^2} &= F_2(t) - B_2 \frac{d\epsilon_2(t)}{dt} - K_2 \epsilon_2(t) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $B_1 = B_1^*/\rho_{l1}$, $K_1 = K_1^*/\rho_{l1}$, $B_2 = B_2^*/\rho_{l2}$, $K_2 = K_2^*/\rho_{l2}$, are normalized viscosity coefficients and elasticity constants, and ρ_{l1} , ρ_{l2} are linear mass densities, for inner and outer layers respectively. $F_1(t)$ and $F_2(t)$ are defined as the normalized radial components of the force acting on inner and outer layers respectively. These forces are generated due to radial blood pressure pulses, $P_1(t)$, pulsating on the inner layer producing successive pulses $P_2(t)$, on the interface between the layers.

$$F_1(t) = 2\pi r_1(t) / \rho_{l1} \times P_1(t) \quad F_2(t) = 2\pi r_2(t) / \rho_{l2} \times P_2(t) \quad (3)$$

Solving eq. 2 for the strain waveforms $\epsilon_1(t)$ and inserting the series representing $P_1(t)$, the mathematical formula representing the radial strain function governing its dependence on the wall viscoelastic properties is:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_1(t) &= \Delta r(t)/r_1 \quad \text{where} \\ r_1'(t) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \times \left\{ \frac{\rho_{l1} (e^{-\pi} (\cos n \pi - 2) - (\cos n \pi + 1))}{\sqrt{B_1^2 \omega^2 + (K_1 - \omega^2)^2}} + \frac{e^{-1/2 B_1 t}}{B_1^2 \omega^2 + (K_1 - \omega^2)^2} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{K_1^2 + \omega^2}{2 \delta_{01}} \sin \delta_{01} t + K_1 (K_1 + \omega^2) \cos \delta_{01} t \right) \left. \right\} \\ &\quad (t + r_1''(t) + r_1'''(t)) \end{aligned} \quad \begin{matrix} r \\ 1 \\ (\\ t \\) \\ = \\ (\\ r \\ 1 \\ , \end{matrix}$$

$$r_1''(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \times \left\{ \frac{\frac{\rho_{l1}}{n} (e^{-\pi} (\cos n \pi - 2) - (\cos n \pi + 1))}{\sqrt{B_1^2 \omega^2 + (K_1 - \omega^2)^2}} + \frac{\omega e^{-1/2 B_1 t}}{B_1^2 \omega^2 + (K_1 - \omega^2)^2} \right. \\ \left. \times \left(\frac{2\omega^2 + B_1^2 - 2K_1}{2\delta_{01}} \sin \delta_{01} t + B_1 \cos \delta_{01} t \right) \right\}$$

$$r_1'''(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho_{l1}}{n} e^{-\pi \cos(n \pi)} \left\{ \frac{4}{B_1^2 + \delta_0^2} + \frac{\lambda_1 \omega e^{-1/2 B_1 t}}{\sqrt{B_1^2 - 4K_1}} \left(\frac{e^{i\delta_{01}/2}}{\delta_{11}} - \frac{e^{-i\delta_{01}/2}}{\delta_{12}} \right) \right\}$$

....(4)

where $\omega = \pi/T$, $\lambda_1 = 2\pi r_1 P_0 / \rho_{l1}$, $\delta_1 = \tan^{-1}(B_1 \omega / (K_1 - \omega^2))$, $\delta_{01} = 1/2(4K_1 - B_1^2)^{1/2}$, $\delta_{11,12} = -1/2(B_1 \pm i\delta_{01})$ and i is the imaginary unit. The variables δ_1 and δ_{01} can be defined as the phase lag angle and the harmonics angle of the inner layer, respectively. Furthermore, the strain energy density, $e_1(t)$, the inertial energy per unit length, $I_1(t)$, and the power loss density, $W_1(t)$, can be calculated for each layer as follows:

$$e_1(t) = 1/2 P_1(t) \varepsilon_1(t) \quad I_1(t) = 1/2 \rho_{l1} (dr_1(t)/dt)^2 \quad W_1(t) = 1/2 B_1 (dr_1(t)/dt)^2$$

...(5)

One can deduce similar formulae for $\varepsilon_2(t)$, $e_2(t)$, $I_2(t)$, $W_2(t)$.

4. RESULTS

4.1 SINGLE LAYER STENT

The input pulsating blood pressure simulates the natural arterial pulse as an exponential rise exponential decay waveform with frequency nearly equals to average pressure pulse duration. Periodic time, $2T$, equals to 1s. The RMS value of the pulsating radial pressure is 1.322 kPa and peak value is, $P_0 = 1.6$ kPa. The mechanical properties, namely B , K and ρ_l , together with calculated RMS values of the strain function, ε_{rms} , and the calculated strain energy density, $e(t)$ are tabulated in Table1. For the sake of a better comparison, the natural inner arterial layers, intima media and adventitia, are investigated similarly. Their mechanical properties employed here are those deduced earlier [27]. The time variation or the strain response, $\varepsilon(t)$, is represented graphically against time (s) for each of the selected materials in fig. 4. The strain energy density, $e(t)$, time variation is represented in fig. 5. While the time variation of the inertial energy density, $I(t)$, (J/cm^3) is represented in fig. 6. Fig. 7 shows time variation power loss density, $W(t)$, ($watt/cm^3$).

Table 1
Data sets for different materials

Material	B gs^{-1}	K N/m	ρ_l g/m	ε_{rms} 10^{-4}	e_{rms} J/m^3
Silicone	20.573	16.328×10^4	29.39	397.97	26.42
Silicone rubber	29.642	12.874×10^5	29.642	1.496	0.09888
Steel	29.3904×10^3	12.56×10^{10}	195.936	0.0005214	0.3446×10^{-4}
Intima media	5.004	0.6×10^3	12	54.5	3.6025
Adventitia	10.00	22.23×10^4	25	0.06029	0.3985×10^{-2}




Fig. 4. Strain versus time (s) for a) Silicone b) Silicone rubber c) Steel




Fig. 5. Strain energy density ($\mu\text{J/cm}^3$) versus time (s) for a) Silicone b) Silicone rubber c) Steel




Fig. 6. Inertial energy density ($\mu\text{J}/\text{cm}^3$)





Fig. 7. Power loss density (watt/ cm^3) versus time (s) for a) Silicone b) Silicone rubber c) Steel

4.2 DOUBLE LAYER

The double layer stent modeled mathematically blood pressure simulation complex strain function of in response to the inner that of the outer silicone the stress relayed to it, $P_2(t)$, are hence computed. The mechanical properties employed during computations are tabulated in Table 2, together with the RMS value of the strain for each layer. Their viscoelastic responses to the exponential rise exponential decay radial stress applied are shown in the figures below.



STENT

shown in fig. 3 is under the pulsating shown in fig. 2. The the inner silicone layer radial stress, $P_1(t)$, and rubber layer in response

Table 2
Mechanical Properties for the double layer stent

Layer	B gs^{-1}	K 10^4 N/m	ρ_l g/m	ϵ_{rms} 10^{-3}
Silicone	20.573	16.328	29.39	39.79
Silicone rubber	29.642	128.74	29.642	0.1496

The real and the imaginary components of the radial stress acting on each layer and hence its strain response are shown in figs. 8, 9, 10, 11.





Fig. 8. Stress (Pa) versus time (s) on the inner silicone layer a) Real b) Imaginary





Fig. 9. Strain versus time (s) on the inner silicone layer a) Real b) Imaginary





Fig. 10. Stress (Pa) versus time (s) on the outer silicone rubber layer a) Real b) Imaginary




Fig. 11. Strain versus time (s) on the outer silicone rubber layer a) Real b) Imaginary

The real and the imaginary components of the strain energy density and inertial energy density on inner and outer stent layers are shown in figs. 12, 13, 14, 15, respectively.




Fig. 12. Strain energy density ($\mu\text{J}/\text{cm}^3$) versus time (s) stored in the inner silicone layer a) Real b) Imaginary





Fig. 13. Strain energy density ($\mu\text{J}/\text{cm}^3$) versus time (s) stored in the outer silicone rubber layer
a) Real b) Imaginary





Fig. 14. Inertial energy function ($\mu\text{J}/\text{cm}^3$) versus time (s) stored in the inner silicone layer
a) Real b) Imaginary





Fig. 15. Inertial energy function ($\mu\text{J}/\text{cm}^3$) versus time (s) stored in the outer silicone rubber layer
a) Real b) Imaginary

5. DISCUSSION

Table 1 shows the results obtained employing the single layer stent model to compare mechanical response of steel, silicone and silicone rubber. They are compared to the inner

two layers of the human arterial wall. Silicone and intima media layers show highest strain responses, 3.98% and 0.54% respectively and consequently largest values of stored energy. Silicone rubber and adventitia show strain responses of 0.015% and 0.000603% respectively. The least strain energy is exhibited by the stainless steel stent. These results recommended the proposed design of the double layer stent. Stress-strain complex functions are represented for the each layer, figs. 8-11, allowing us to closely follow the strain response. The strain waveforms show fluctuations with peak to peak values of 0.03% and 0.08% for silicone and silicone rubber layers respectively, different from the response of same materials in the single layer model. Most of the incident stress is experienced by the outer layer (silicone rubber) as it is the less elastic. The inner silicone layer exhibits higher inertial energy whereas the outer silicone rubber layer stores higher strain energy, figs. 12-15, contradicting the results obtained in the single layer model. The reason for this is that the materials are subjected to different stresses when combined in the double layer model.

6. CONCLUSION

Results largely elect the silicone materials to replace steel regarding the strain energy that provides backward pressure. Since stored strain energy contributes to the pulsatile motion of arterial wall then the higher strain lessens susceptibility to possible thrombosis or stagnation. In other words, the pulsating stent wall enhances a vibrating motion that compensates loss of elasticity of a stiff artery. The proposed design for the double layer silicone-silicone rubber stent shows highly fluctuating time response. The fluctuations provide adequate wall strain energy to push back and hence maintain the blood flow pulsatile through arteries. This type of stent can be implanted in vessels suffering stiffness to overcome restenosis.

An extra advantage of the use of silicone and silicone rubber as stents is that these materials are chemically inert which is essential for long term implementation in the human body. Moreover, they are electrically inactive either for ionic interaction with the flowing blood or possible interaction with electromagnetic penetrating radiation. C. Zhaojin and W. Qiang [28] among others have indicated that EMF exposure can lead to some harmful effects on the cardiovascular function, it may influence the electrophysiological activities, blood pressure, etc. The magnetic and electric field components accompanying any microwave radiation emitted by mobile phones or other similar devices may affect the stainless steel stent. Nearby generated fields, being incident on a conducting metallic stent section, cause an induced EMF. This causes disturbance in the blood flow leading to its non-uniformity [28] or trapping of ionic components such as H^+ , OH^- , Ca^{++} , Na^+ , Cl^- , etc. along the stent length.

As for the heating effect of EMF radiation in frequency ranges of (3 kHz-30 GHz), should also be considered. Stainless steel, having high thermal conductivity coefficient, transfers higher amount of heat energy. Power transmitted by a single mobile phone, for example, is in the order of magnitude of (100-400 mW/cm²) per device [29]. For a 2 mm radius and 5 mm length stent, the power absorbed is in the order of (62.8-251.2 mW) [30]. Considering the extensive use of microwave in different technological applications, this power may exceed the permissible limits and lead to local elevation of temperature around the metallic stent.

Conclusively, it is recommended to replace the conventional material of stents by the ones proposed in this paper. We believe that they will maintain to great extent the mechanical functionality of the arterial wall storing elasticity that prevent blood stagnation around the stent. Besides biocompatibility, they offer better chemical and electrical compatibility of the system as well. We are currently devoting efforts on evaluating the EMF interaction with the proposed materials in more details.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. L. Fischman, M. B. Leon, et al., "A randomized comparison of coronary stent placement and balloon angioplasty in the treatment of coronary artery disease", *New Engl. J. Med.*, Vol.331, pp.496-501, 1994.
- [2] P. W. Serruys, P. de Jaegere, et al., "A comparison of balloon-expandable-stent implantation with balloon angioplasty in patients with coronary artery disease", *New Engl. J. Med.*, Vol.331, pp.489-495, 1994.
- [3] T. Rau, J. Schofer, et al., "Stenting of non acute total coronary occlusions: predictors of late angiographic outcome", *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol*, Vol.31, pp.275-280, 1998.
- [4] F. Nicoud, H. V. Kovacsik, et al., "Arterial stenting: From hemodynamics and wall mechanics to restenosis-Experimental in vivo and theoretical approaches", CNRS UMR5149 I3M, Universite Montpellier II, France, Internet communication.
- [5] H. Vernhet, R. Demaria, et al., "Changes in Wall Mechanics After Endovascular Stenting in the Rabbit Aorta: Comparison of Three Stent Designs", *American Roentgen Ray Society, AJR*, Vol.176, pp. 803-807, 2001.
- [6] H. Stephan, M. D. Duda, et al., "Physical Properties of Endovascular Stents: An Experimental Comparison", Department of Radiology, Eberhard-Karls-Universität Tübingen, Germany; and Nitinol Devices & Components (D.S.), Fremont, California, Internet communication.
- [7] S. V. Lossef, R. J. Lutz, et al., "Comparison of mechanical deformation properties of metallic stents with use of stress-strain analysis" *Journal of Vascular and Interventional Radiology*, Vol 5, Issue 2, pp. 341-349, 1994.
- [8] F. Flueckiger, H. Sternthal, et al., "Strength, elasticity, and plasticity of expandable metal stents: in vitro studies with three types of stress", *Journal of Vascular and Interventional Radiology*, Vol 5, Issue 5, pp. 745-750, 1994.
- [9] C. Tudor, M. D. Poerner, et al., "Determinants of Stent Expansion in Curved Stenotic Lesions: An In Vitro Experimental Study", *Journal of Vascular and Interventional Radiology*, Vol.15, pp.727-735, 2004.
- [10] O. Greil, G. Pflugbeil, et al., "Changes in carotid artery velocities after stent implantation: A fluid dynamics study with laser Doppler Anemometry", *Journal of Endovasc. Ther.*, Vol.10, Issue 2, pp. 275-284, Apr 2003.
- [11] J. Lahann, D. Klee, H. Thelen, et al., "Improvement of hemocompatibility of metallic stents by polymer coating", *J. of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine*, Vol. 10, No. 7, pp.443-448, Jul 1999.
- [12] J. D. Denstedt, G. Reid, et al., "Advances in uteral stent technology", *World Journal of Urology*, Vol. 18, No. 4, pp.237-242, Sept. 2000.
- [13] S. Shih-Horng, Y.N. C. Robert, et al., "New flexible polymeric heart valve prosthesis for the mitral and aortic positions", *The Heart Surgery Forum*, Vol. 7, No. 5, pp. 525-532, Oct. 2004.
- [14] A. Korpela, P. Aarnio, et al., "Bioabsorbable Self-reinforced Poly-L-Lactide, Metallic, and Silicone Stents in the Management of Experimental Tracheal Stenosis", *American college of chest physicians*, Vol. 115, pp. 490-495, 1999.
- [15] Q. Yu, J. Zhou, and Y. C. Fung, "Neutral axis location in bending and Young's modulus of different layers of arterial wall", *Am. J. Physiol*, H52-60, pp. 265-270, Jul 1993.
- [16] Schulze-Bauer and J. Holzapfel, *Biomechanics*, 2003, Internet communications.

- [17] Y. C. Fung, "Mechanical properties of living tissues", Springer, New York, second edition, 1993.
- [18] J. Zhou. and Y. C. Fung, "The degree of nonlinearity and anisotropy of blood vessel elasticity", Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA Vol. 94, pp. 14255-14260, Dec. 1997.
- [19] Charles Choi, Biomedical Engineering Dept., Biomedical Ultrasonic lab., Univ. of Michigan, internet communication.
- [20] Mingxi Wan, Yangamei Li, Junbo Li Yaoyao Cui, and Xiaodong Zhou, "Strain imaging and Elasticity Reconstruction of Arteries Based on Intravascular Ultrasound Video Images" IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering, Vol. 48, No. 1, 2001.
- [21] S. Cirovic, C. Walsh, W. D. Fraser, "A model of pulse transmission in the intracranial arteries", Internet communications.
- [22] T. Shishido, M. Sugimachi, O. Kawaguchi, H.Miyano, T. Kawada, W. Matsuura, Y. Ikeda, T. Sato, J. Alexander Jr., and Kenji Sunagawa, "A new method to measure regional myocardial time-varying elastance using minute vibration", Am. J. Physiol Heart Circ. Physiol, Vol. 274, issue 4, H1404-H1415, April 1998.
- [23] S. Mette Olufsen, "Structured tree outflow condition for blood flow in larger systemic arteries." Am. J. Physiol Heart Circ. Physiol, Vol. 276, issue 1, H257-H268, January 1999.
- [24] Ed Van Bavel, Pier Siersma, and Jos A.E.Spaan, "Elasticity of Passive Blood Vessels: A New Concept", Am. J. Physiol Heart Circ. Physiol, Vol.10, July 2003.
- [25] J. Harold Metcalf, "Topics in Classical Biophysics", Prentice-Hall, inc., New Jersey, May 1979
- [26] Mona A. El Nagggar, and M. A. El Messiery, "The viscoelastic response of blood vessels under the effect of pulsating pressure", Proceedings of the Biological Effects of the EMFs, 3rd international workshop, Kos, Greece, Vol.11, pp. 50-55, Oct. 2004.
- [27] Mona A. El Nagggar, "A double layer arterial wall model under pulsating blood pressure", International Journal of Advanced Research (*IJAR*, <http://www.journalijar.com>), ISSN 2320-5407, Volume 2, Issue 1, pp. 872-878, Jan. 2014
- [28] Mona A. El Nagggar and M.A. El Messiery. "A Mathematical Model for Pulsating Flow of Ionic Fluid under an Axial Electric Field: EMF effect on Blood Flow", Journal of Physical Science and Application, JPSA, USA, ISSN 2159-5348, Vol.2, No.4, pp.61-70, April 2012
- [29] C. Zhaojin and W. Qiang, "Epidemiological studies of EMF health effects in China: A review of recent studies", 3rd International EMF seminar in China Electromagnetic Fields and Biological Effects, Guilin China, Oct. 2003.
- [30] K. Tahata, et. al., Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, Proceedings of the 25th Annual International Conference of the IEEE, Vol.4, pp.3360-3363, Sept.2003

APPENDIX




Fig. 16. Double layer mechanical model

The second order differential equations that govern the electrical charge, q , with circuit components, R, L, C , and input voltage V_0 is:

$$\frac{d^2 q(t)}{dt^2} = V_0(t)/L - \frac{R}{L} \frac{dq(t)}{dt} - \frac{1}{LC} q(t) \quad (6)$$

$q_1(t)$, $q_2(t)$ and $q_3(t)$ are the equivalent charges in analogous circuit. By analogy between the two systems, mechanical and electrical, note that:

$$V_0(t) \leftrightarrow 2\pi P_1(t),$$

$$R/L \leftrightarrow B$$

$$1/LC \leftrightarrow K$$

$$L \leftrightarrow \rho_t$$

Since $P_1(t)$, $P_2(t)$ and $P_3(t)$ are analogous to V_1 , V_2 and V_3 , thus we can deduce the involved quantities in the s -domain as follows:

$$I_1(s) = Y_{eq}(s) V_1(s) \quad (7)$$

where Y_{eq} represents the total admittance of the two circuit section.

$$V_2(s) = V_1(s) - I_1(s) \left(R_1 + \frac{1}{sC_1} \right)$$

$$V_3(s) = V_2(s) - I_3(s) \left(R_2 + \frac{1}{sC_2} \right)$$

(8)