



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_13598
Title of the Manuscript:	Stream Water Quality Assessment for Irrigation at Nasarawa - Kakuri Area of Kaduna, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	SAR should also have been mentioned in the list of parameters in abstract and methodology and RSC (Residual Sodium Carbonate) should also have been calculated. It can still be done since you just need to put the values in their formula A study area map showing the sampling points along the stream should be included. GPS coordinates of sampling points should also be mentioned. References must be added in discussion.	SAR has been mentioned as recommended. The calculation of RSC has been done. There is no map available now, and the location of the stream is mentioned in the introduction.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Few grammatical errors must be removed	The editor has the right to edit grammatical errors if discovered.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	FAO guidelines should be clearly mentioned and discussed and compared properly in the manuscript	This has been complied with.