



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_14026
Title of the Manuscript:	Implementing Acid Breakdown Job Selection Technique Proved to be the key Drive down Cost of Gas Lift Completion and Maintaining to Improving Production in Amal Reservoir (B) of the Sirte Basin, Libya
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Author need to shorten the title of manuscript. 2. In Introduction, author described past work, but little comment on the contribution and shortcoming. Author need to provide critical comments. 3. English needs to be improved significantly. Suggest having a native English speaker to check through the paper.	1. As per review comments the title was shortened to be suitable. 2. The introduction was written to cover all subjects mentioned in the paper. 3. Further to English language, the paper was reviewed accordingly and it was written in appropriate language.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		