



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_14026
Title of the Manuscript:	Implementing Acid Breakdown Job Selection Technique Proved to be the key Drive down Cost of Gas Lift Completion and Maintaining to Improving Production in Amal Reservoir (B) of the Sirte Basin, Libya
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The language should be checked. There are many spelling and grammar mistakes. It is better to use shorter sentences, as too long sentences have a more complicated structure and the logic of such sentences is often difficult to understand if the structure is incorrect. The structure of the article should be revised. The introduction should include some literature review of other cases of acid treatment or other EOR techniques. It should be explained why acid treatment was chosen in this particular case. The passage regarding the meaning of the Amal Formation should be placed in the introduction. A detailed description of the treatment should be given. Including timing, pressure data. Some description/characteristics of the well should also be given in the main part. Discussion and description of the figures should be added (analysis should be given). Section 2.3: if the software is mentioned, it should be described what parameters were measured, what was modelled and what was the outcome of the modelling. Overall: the structure of the article should be the following: - introduction (description of formation, typical EOR techniques, used in this formation, why acid treatment was chosen in this case) - materials and methods:	The language has been checked.. The structure of the paper has been reviewed and re-structured such as adding introduction, literature review and economic impacts. The technique description was explained in methodology and procedures section. The introduction was written to cover all aspects mention in the paper. A description of treatment process was discussed in methodology and procedures section. The analysis of figures was discussed in M&P section. Section 2.3. was changed section 5 data summary section including a description of software packages used and parameters measured. Overall: ➤ Introduction was changed accordingly.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	- modelling (input, output, analysis) - treatment program - Results (with discussion and figure description) - Conclusions (should be based on the data reported, not general words).	➤ Materials was discussed in section 5 and methods discussed in section 6. ➤ Treatment program was discussed in section 6. ➤ Results discussed in section 7. ➤ Conclusion was changed accordingly.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The references should be given in the journal format, one number per reference. The order of the references should be matched with the order of their appearance in the text.	References were changed to Harvard Style.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		