



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_14606
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Coconut Milk Substitution with Soymilk on the Shelf Life of 'Nasi Lemak'
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript investigates the effect of coconut milk substitution with soymilk on the shelf life of 'Nasi Lemak'. It is an interesting study that deserves publication. However, there are some issues that should be addressed prior final consideration. 1) The Results & Discussion section should be rewritten in order to be clear and concise. The authors should focus on the significant findings of their study, avoiding unnecessary repetitions. I also suggest combining the various parts of this section to a uniform text. 2) English language should be checked and improved throughout the whole text. There are many grammar and syntax errors.	The results and discussion have been rewritten clearly and concisely. The language and style of writing of this manuscript has been revised extensively.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		