



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_14837
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Tillage Systems and Tithonia diversifolia Mulch on Soil Physical and Chemical Properties, Growth and Cocoyam Yield in a Tropical Alfisol
Type of the Article	Regular

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Compulsory revision is required. See the manuscript for the comments and suggestions.	Revision has been carried out as suggested in the manuscript.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript was fair, however the English needs improvement. The author should consider the kind of verb for report writing, or otherwise stated by the Journal	The English has been improved as suggested.