



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_15512
Title of the Manuscript:	Handwritten Arabic Characters Recognition Based on Wavelet Entropy and Neural Network
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I reviewed the article titled "Handwritten Arabic characters recognition based on wavelet entropy and neural network", and the conclusion is as follows. There is a similarity with other papers about 75% as follow 1-19%match (internet from 11-october 2010) http://gandalf.asis.uib.no 2-14%match with Daqouq,k.,and k.y.al azzawi: "Arabic vowels recognition based on wavelet average framing linear prediction coding and neural network",speech communication 2013 3-6% match with Khalaf,Emad; etc "Wavelet packet and modular arithmetic for text independ speaker identification "European journal of scientific research 2011 4-4% match (internet from 6-jun-2010) htt:// dissertation.ub.rug.nl 5-there is other researches similar to this paper with 4&2 % Conclusion Its a review paper and nothing new in it.	There was some similarity to our past works, we have done some changes to the text, I attached last time grammerly.com plagiarism report
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		