



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_15679
Title of the Manuscript:	RESEARCH OF IMPLANTS COATING STRUCTURE AND DURABILITY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Authors must re-write the whole manuscript according to the grammatical and scientific manuscript writing rules. This manuscript is in the format of a narrative rather than a scientific study, giving unnecessary details about the materials and methods at the noncommitted sections. Materials and methods shall be given methodically. Abstract is not specific and sentences are grammatically inadequate. Introduction and discussion should be re-written and other studies in this subject shall be given for comparison. SPM would not be enough to draw a conclusion alone. Additional analysis like SEM, surface hardness, etc. shall be done to support their derivations.	I have corrected the manuscript as I could. The aim of this short article is to make only a friction test.
Minor REVISION comments	Title is too general in content for this limited experimental study. Title should be specified according to the aim of the study.	I agree with the reviewer. I have changed the title: <i>Experimental research of durability of implants with different coating.</i>
Optional/General comments		