

Review of the manuscript by the title **“Discovery of pyroclastic surge deposits in Bamenda1volcano (Bambili, West-Cameroon, Cameroon volcanic Line): importance in chronostratigraphy of the massif”for BJST**

I do not think that this paper meets the standard of your Journal, I would more publish this paper on a volcanological Journal.

1. There are several spelling mistakes, For English stiles, I advise that someone from UK look the paper in much more detail, because I am not an English mother tongue.
2. In the abstract as in the rest of the paper, there are some confusion between lithotypes and genetic terms, (e.g., trachytic and rhyolitic versus ignimbrites)
3. The figures are not very clear (e.g., Figures 3, 5, ...)

The contribution of this paper is the revision of the stratigraphy of Bamenda volcano, including a wet diluted density current successionoriginated from Oku vent, after the ignimbritic events (mlT), supported by petrography, although the Figure that are shown the outcrops are not very clear, so I suggest to put Figure much more clear to let understand better the succession of the diluted density current of this African volcano.

Mistakes for English stile were corrected. I think there is no confusion between lithotypes and genetic terms; in our previous publication these term are also used. The figures with good resolution are include in the original manuscript.