



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_16279
Title of the Manuscript:	Hydrogeology and Water Quality Assessment of the Middle Aquiferous Horizon of Onisha in Anambra Basin, Eastern Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper is not well researched and previous work done on similar areas should be highlighted. Further, the authors have presented the flow diagram of the area without referring the methodology/data used for preparing the same. The authors should also research the sources of pollution which has resulted into poor groundwater quality. It will help the authorities to prepare a comprehensive mitigation plan.	Although significant modifications / corrections were made; it is imperative to state that the article was well researched and similar work done in the area clearly cited and listed. The methodology used in obtaining the flow diagram is clearly stated as well as the sources of poor groundwater quality. The reviewer is therefore advise that to carefully study the article for proper understanding, clarification and conclusions.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		