



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_16313
Title of the Manuscript:	Botulnium Toxin A of Adductor Longus and Brevis Versus Gracilis Muscles in Children with Diplegic Cerebral Palsy
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The introduction doesn't include enough premises introducing the idea to choose those muscle groups for injection; therefore, some more description of CP gait characteristics should be moved from discussion to introduction.	We have corrected that in the paper
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	English language needs revision.	Professor in English Literature has revised the paper
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The focus of the paper is interesting and not largely investigated up to now in literature. The large sample and the extensive clinical and instrumental evaluation make the study solid.	Thanks a lot sir