



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_16478
Title of the Manuscript:	STORAGE STABILITY OF DEEP-FRIED COWPEA PRODUCTS (AKARA) INCORPORATED WITH SOY-FLOUR AND AFRAMOMUM DANIELLI
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1 The introduction section is too long and redundant. It would be better to focus on the review of the previous works on the effects on quality of deep-fried akara. 2 Italic akara in the text. 3 Check the format carefully to make sure all the format is consistent.	noted
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		