



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_16546
Title of the Manuscript:	Relationship between body mass index and percent body fat among females of Raipur city
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- The objective is to investigate the relationship between BMI and %BF. But the authors do not use any statistical method to evaluate this relationship. Thus, one cannot infer any relationship with the collected data. - The authors do not mention the correct technique to carry out assessment by bio impedance. Several criteria must be considered in making this assessment. - The article did not include the approval by the ethics committee - The article does not describe the calculation of the sample - Where is the discussion of the results? - Tables with incorrect formatting. - The title of the table should say: "what, when and where". This does not occur. The article did not include the approval by the ethics committee	- The Statistical Analysis of data was entered into the computer using MS-Excel program. Mean and Standard deviations for various parameters was computed. - Anthropometric measurements height and weight was taken by standard techniques. Only Percent body fat was estimated by bio-electrical impedance analysis (BIA) technique using. - Letter Approved by the Ethical Review Committee and it is already mentioned. - Already described in revised paper. - Table format corrected.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		