



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_16570
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic Algorithm based on K-means-Clustering Technique for Multi-objective Resource Allocation Problems
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 87: instead of using "Proposed approach", it is better to use "our approach" or "used approach". Line 123 : term "taken from [32]" should be written to the label of figure. Line 187:change to "Multiobjectives" Line 230: Sentence begin with " A solution is called..." should be rewritten.	Thank you for your valuable comments, which helped me a lot and give me support as this is my first research and paper. I have made changes to all points you commented on as your suggestion.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		