



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_16577
Title of the Manuscript:	Proximate contents of Bambara Groundnut <i>Vigna subterranean</i> (L.) Verdc) grown in Madobi, Kano state-Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	You look for "Bambara Groundnut" in data bases papers, at least you find proximate analysis, mineral composition, antinutrient composition, functional properties and carbohydrate fractions in a manuscript. The present paper only shows proximate analysis and no more and the scientific contribution is very little because other papers present the same conclusions. Abstract is so simple. Introduction is short and it needs more references. Materials do not have the chemical reagents and equipment used. It is necessary to improve writing. This article needs more references of international studies you have to have a good number (40 or more) of references. The paper is so short. Some references are such old. In the Word attachment, there are more comments. Please clarify the ethical issues if any	Your observation is valid to certain extend, all the reported data were not from the study area of this research work. There is nothing wrong in reporting only proximate content of neglected agricultural produce. Reporting proximate by this work may be considered as preliminary survey that may signals for characterization of its lipids, protein, carbohydrate contents etc. Scientific abstract has to be simple and précis being summary of the research work. Chemical and equipment used added see the revised paper. Reference required in lines: 24, 82 and 86 were added see revised article References added to the as requested
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		