



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_16707
Title of the Manuscript:	The Perception and Adaptation Strategies to Climate Change by Rice Farmers in Ekiti State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The research methodology used by the author in this manuscript is poorly presented. Which research and sampling designs were used in the study? How were the validity and reliability tests conducted? Were there and ethical considerations in the data collection process? The author should use the appropriate symbols in reporting results. E.g., Chi-Square (χ^2) in the hypothesis testing section should be used instead of the X^2 which is not statistical. The analysis of the "level of awareness on climate change" is not clear. For example, how was the data coding done? Was the data ordinal or nominal? Is there any statistical basis for taking the mean of the qualitative responses? All citations must have corresponding references and vice versa. E.g., the following reference is not cited in the text: Khush, G.S. & Cassman, K.G. 2004 Rice Yield Decline with higher Night Temperature from Global Warming. Pp. 46-56 In Redona, E.D., Castro, A.P. & Llanto, G.P. (eds) Rice Integrated Crop Management: Towards a Rice Check System in the Philippines. PhilRice, Nueva Ecija, Philippines. 2004	Dear reviewer, I so much appreciate your efforts in pointing out the major errors in this manuscript. The validity and reliability texts have been included. The symbol of chi-square has been changed to the correct one. The analysis of the "level of awareness on climate change" was at the ordinal level. The reference section has been collected appropriately.
Minor REVISION comments	What are the factors that influence the adaptation strategies? The recommendation is weak and not clearly linked to the results from the study.	
Optional/General comments		