



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_16999
Title of the Manuscript:	An integrated Approach to Urban Waste Management in a Developing Country. A Case Study of the Accra Metropolitan Area, Ghana
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Recommendations are generic in nature and well known. The authors should have made pecific recommendations for the city which could have been implemented by the authorities.	Although the recommendations may appear generic and well-known, they are tailored to align with conditions in the study area. Currently, the recommendations provided are lacking and not implemented in the study area.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		