



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_17091
Title of the Manuscript:	The Engineering of an Undergraduate Nuclear Education Program in Jordan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comments	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	I assume that IAEA in the first paragraph of the Introduction stands for International Atomic Energy Agency – the author(s) might want to spell this out.	The sentence was clarified to read' the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA)
Optional/General comments	This is an interesting article on a pedagogical topic, although I would not classify it as research <i>per se</i> .	The Article has been reorganized to present the idea in a more articulate way.