



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_17091
Title of the Manuscript:	The Engineering of an Undergraduate Nuclear Education Program in Jordan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The Manuscript describes the organization, structure and functions of a new institution to educate qualified staff for nuclear power plant, which will be constructed in Jordan in near future. It seems no new technique or new application in the content of manuscript fitting to the scope of British Journal of Applied Science & Technology.	I think the manuscript tackles nuclear engineering education in a newcomer country. I think both Engineering and Education are within the scope of BJASt "Subject areas cover, but not limited to, ... engineering, ... education, ... "
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		