



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_17530
Title of the Manuscript:	Nozzle Shape Optimization to Achieve the Maximum Gain in the GDLs
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 110: P is defined as an unknown parameter vector with N components. This paper would be more readable if there was an attempt to define P in more practical terms. Line 142: In equation 13, for more clarity the arguments in the immediate neighbourhood of $P_j + \epsilon P_j$ in the first τ_i function and in the immediate neighbourhood of P_j in the second τ_i function. In equation (14), ϵ' needs to be defined. Lines 87 and 88: The sentence : "Here, the cell ..." is grammatically wrong and meaningless. It must be rewritten.	It is common expression in optimization problems and related papers and it is better to remain like this. It is defined. It is revised.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		