



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_17555
Title of the Manuscript:	An Efficient Variational Model for Gamma Multiplicative Noise Removal
Type of the Article	Method Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	This paper is very well written. Namely, the variation method is not good in the case of non functional data and in this case should be apply the methods of artificial intelligence. (See. D.Rastovic : Fractional variational problems and particle in cell gyrokinetic simulations with fuzzy logic approach for tokamaks, Nucle. Tech. Rad. Prot., 2009, available on Danilo Rastovic ResearchGate).	Reviewer's comment is good; the method of artificial intelligence is my objection in next work.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		