



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_17571
Title of the Manuscript:	Electrochemical Studies on Aluminium Corrosion in Dilute Alkaline Dispersions of Graphene Oxide
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Author investigated the Graphene Oxide (GO) sheet with different degree of exfoliation has been prepared by modified Hummers' method. My recommendation is major revision. -Revise English of manuscript -TGA curves are too bad, shows degradation of materials, they are no thermal stable, could you repeat the measurement and explain the results. -Normalize the fluorescence spectrum. -Revise conclusion part -Revise the introduction part	-English has been revised and improved. -TGA curves shows that thermal stability of GO is much less than Graphite which is found almost similar with ref. no. 34 & 45. This phenomenon has been explained in the revised manuscript. Better curves have been provided. - The fluorescence spectrum has been normalized. - Conclusion part has been improved in revised manuscript. -The introduction has been revised thoroughly.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		