



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_17578
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of process variables and a comparative study of methods for transfer oil production from spent engine oil
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript is written showing the input of the authors. However, It is not organized properly. The authors are required to concentrate on the issue in the introduction part. The manuscript can be organized in the following way: 1. Introduction: Introduce the problem in the light of the current research underway and include your hypothesis. 2. Experimental; Include all the experimental details like chemical used, extraction process. 3. Analytical methods used for characterization of the refined oil 4. Conclusion that which method should be preferred over the others and why? 5. Which characterization methods are useful, for instance physic o-chemical analysis of the refined oil or spectroscopic methods. A list of ASTM methods should be included for the information of the new readers that which ASTM/IP method is more effective to decide that the spent oil has been renewed.	Thank you for your comments. We have attempted to respond to your comments as follows: • The present study is on review of previous researches on spent engine oil treatment. Thus there was no experimental work. Therefore, experimental details are not necessary in this study. • Conclusion – Elaborate explanation has been made to justify the route of solvent extraction-adsorption process for further studies. Some corrections have been effected in the conclusion to reflect this.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		