



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_17923
Title of the Manuscript:	SOIL CONTAMINATION BY REFINED CRUDE OIL USING <i>Lumbricus terrestris</i> AS TOXICITY INDICATOR AT A PETROLEUM PRODUCT DEPOT, IBADAN, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Full paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The methodology is appropriate. To reduce the pages, if necessary, you can reduce the text of the abstract and introduction. The results are relevant and of high importance, since the heavy metals levels (Pb and Cd) are far above the limits allowed by the USEPA. The manuscript requires little review. For this, consult directly with the observations on the pdf file. The manuscript is within the scope of the BJASt and the publication is recommended.	The authors appreciate the reviewer's helpful comments. All corrections have been made as suggested by the reviewer.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	To see comments in the own manuscript (pdf)	The minor corrections have been made to the manuscript. See yellow highlight.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		