



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_17923
Title of the Manuscript:	SOIL CONTAMINATION BY REFINED CRUDE OIL USING <i>Lumbricus terrestris</i> AS TOXICITY INDICATOR AT A PETROLEUM PRODUCT DEPOT, IBADAN, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	See attached for several grammatical corrections - Round off all values to appropriate level (3 or 4) - Separate units and values	The corrections have been made and highlighted in yellow. Authors have the following comments: - Values were not rounded off to 3 significant figures because important information will be lost. - Units were separated from the values throughout the manuscript. - Reviewer suggest writing $P = 0.05$ but BJAST Format for authors guide is $P = .05$ and the authors have followed BJAST guide.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		