



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_15958
Title of the Manuscript:	Discovery of pyroclastic surge deposits in Bamenda volcano (Bambili, West-Cameroon, Cameroon volcanic Line): importance in chronostratigraphy of the massif
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	- Title should be modified see text for suggestion - Abstract should be rewrite - A Method section is required to explain how the data were acquired - Figures must be refined, they are almost all unreadable - Questions asked in the text need answers - Figures borrowed from other works should be acknowledged as such. Ethical issues : If yes, kindly explain. Somehow It is likely that the work is deemed to develop a part of previous findings of one of the co-authors. Although it is not well explained in the text to mark the particularity of the work, many part of the manuscript are related to the work by M. GountiéDedzo et al., 2011, doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2011.04.012. I think that the author should concentrate more in the section they are trying to highlight from the previous work and them provide more detailed information. Plagiarism is suspected, please provide related proofs or web links. Figure from pre-existing work mentioned above,	- The new title of the paper is : “ Remnants blocks of pyroclastic surge deposits in Bambili, Cameroon volcanic Line: New insights into the lithostratigraphy of Mount Bamenda” - I have made some modification in abstract. - In the original version of this paper the resolution of figures is good - Commentaire [U1]: Thin sections was made on incipiently welded part of surge deposits - Commentaire [U2]: I think the term Mount Bamenda or Bamenda highlands could be used here. - Commentaire [U3]: Till now there is no study to confirm source of trachytic lava flow. - Commentaire [U6]: This remark was introduced in the text. - Commentaire [U8]: Observations made on the former document are now consider here. All the corrections are including in corrected manuscript.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	probably of the same authors, reference [3] in the text are used without any detailed description and or modification. The figure 6b for example is similar to that in reference [3] and should be acknowledge in the text as suggested. Otherwise this can turn into self-plagiarism if the work is from the same authorship.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- A good distinction should be made between the different parts and outcrops investigated - Check and use the suggestions in the text where appropriate for you. - Rephrase some sentences for better understanding	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Most of general comments are reported in Track and Change in the manuscript English editing is required	