



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_16536
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of the Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) of Hydrothermal Pretreated Hay Samples
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The manuscript is improved. However, there is still lack of critical discussion (comparison with other researchers etc) in results and discussion part. Even, there is no reference has been used in this part. (The comment same as the previous comment: The results and discussion is not enough and need to be expending a little bit. There is also no critical discussion such as comparison with the other authors in the same fields. It is also suggested to compare with the other studies and present it in the Table.) There is an additional paragraph in the conclusion, but it is suggested to have the discussion in the results and discussion part and conclude it in the conclusion part.	The paragraph added in the first review round based on the reviewers comment to the conclusion was moved and incorporated between lines 55 and 64 including two more references. The text was marked yellow. All subsequent reference numbers were corrected and marked yellow. No more references cold be added in the results and discussion part since the author is not aware that hydrothermal treatment is done at the lower temperature levels of the described research incorporating grinding as a pre-treatment process prior to hydrothermal treatment.