



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_9809
Title of the Manuscript:	REGIONAL STRATIGRAPHIC INTERPRETATION OF AN ANTARCTIC SHELF EDGE SEISMIC LINES

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
I have completed the revised-review of the manuscript 'Regional stratigraphic interpretation of an Antarctic Shelf edge' by Maunde et al. Here are my final comments on the revised paper. 1. Authors have 'completely failed' to address previous reviews. They did not seem to have put any effort in improving the manuscript. 2. Plagiarism issues still exist in the paper. 3. The manuscript has been handled very casually, rather it has become worse. 4. Poor English has not been improved. 5. Such a write-up is NOT a 'scientific manuscript'. 6. The used data are very limited to arrive a conclusion that may benefit larger scientific community.	I really appreciate all the valuable comments. More data e.g. well logs e.t.c will be very good as suggested. But due to limited data for the work, seismic data was used which provide some information about the continental shelf of Antarctica. The comments rise against poor English and plagiarism have been revised It's good for the reviewer's to encourage students to publish their work with limited data they have at hand.