

Reviewers	First review	Response of Author on first review	2nd review	Response of Author on 2nd review	Editor's comment
Dr. Nil	Suggested compulsory & minor revision	Author made some corrections and provided justification to the issue raised by the reviewers	Reviewer agreed that some changes were made and gave acceptance mark (8). But reviewer also mentioned that some comments were not addressed	Author provided justification on why some comments were not asked	I think the author has sufficiently addressed all the comments.
Dr. Far	Suggested minor revision	Author responded to comments	Rejected	Worked on the abstract, took out 'false' statement	Review has major objection at the use of uncharacterized extract. Reviewer's comment is respected but looking at the overall goal of the work as clearly stated by the author it seemed use of extract similar to the way the local people use is acceptable. Yes further characterization will be needed. But given the scope of the

					recent work this study can be published.
Egharevba, Henry Omoregie	Suggested some compulsory and minor revision. Some comments were related to english writing as well	Author seemed to have addressed all the comments. Expressed their disagreement on english	Didn't respond		I have not found any issues with english. It's an well-written easy to understand article. I think the author has addressed all the imporant comments

The paper is Acceptable for publication