

Reviewers	First review	Response of Author on first review
Adriana Estokova	Suggested to accept as it is. Minor revision was suggested	Author has taken care of those comments
Mahmut Dogru	Grossly criticized the article in several aspects. Gave a poor rating and suggested several changes	Author has claimed to have worked on most of the comments, and has provided explanation for others
Mem	Suggested to accept as it is and no minor revision was suggested	
This paper is acceptable for publication. I have seen some discrepancy with respect to font size/type that needs to be corrected but this is a simple task to be done by the journal itself		

2nd review	
NA	
Reviewer agreed that most of the comments were addressed but also suggested more revisions. This time most of the comments were typos related but also made a general comment about the paper being carefully reviewed by someone (most likely native English speaker).	Author worked all the comments and commented that the manuscript has been reviewed again.
NA	

Editor's comment	
No comments as the reviewer has been quite satisfied with the overall article	
I have read through the article. Although this doesn't match my area of expertise, the article could be easily read and understod. I didn't see any issue from language standpoint. I have seen differences in font types/size which would require correction	
No comments as the reviewer has been quite satisfied with the overall article	