



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_18781
Title of the Manuscript:	Lead and cadmium levels of African catfish (<i>Clarias gariepinus</i>) and the effect of cooking methods on their concentrations
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Physico-chemical analysis of water : make this part much more specific.....advised use scientific sentences to make it impressive..... Twenty four (24) hours Bioassay...this part of the study is good....please the findings of it in conclusion.....in details..... Effect of cooking methods on concentrations of cadmium and lead in flesh.....this part of the article forced me to accept it for the publication..... This part also need revision as per international standard.....	Suggestions made have been addressed in terms of using scientific sentences to make the article impressive. The 24 hour bioassay has been included in the conclusion.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Introduction part is too big and out of fashion Please make it short and impressive..... Use Mathematica software for equation editing and formatting Format tables as per journal norms..... Fig 1. Format its horizontal and vertical texts as per standard of the journal. This should have clear view.....	The introduction has been abridged in compliance with the Reviewer comments. Tables and Figures have been formatted as suggested by the Reviewer.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		