



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_12452
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Hydrological Properties and Proximate Impact of Soakaway Leachate on Well-Water Quality in Two Residential Areas of Ibadan, Southwestern Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. In Abstract standard method is followed 2. Can not find standard manuscript structure 3. Water quality –methodology- not clear 4. Same citation is frequently/continuously referred (eg. 13 \$ 29) 5. General methodology is not clear 6. Study area details are not enough 7. No references for 41 & 42	1. Okay 2. Manuscript structure followed standard journal structure 3. References of standard procedure for water and waste-water chemical analysis adopted were mentioned. 4. The two citations were very relevant to the study in focus 5. Some clarifications of method used have been made. 6. Geographical location and description of the area were presented. 7. References now edited.