



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_13609
Title of the Manuscript:	A general review on Photovoltaic, modeling, simulation and economic study to build 100MW power plant in Lebanon
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The authors make a contribution to the first study about 100MW photovoltaic farm in Lebanon, however, the related technique has been already perfectly used in the world. In addition, substantive argument has been ignored by authors in this paper. Of course, if authors cut some secondary contents and add detailed analysis of the main contents, the paper will be better than now. In addition, it is important that there are several innovations in a high level paper.	The paper is reformulated, some sections are removed and others are added.