



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_15437
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ROLE OF RADIOACTIVE IODINE IN THYROTOXICOSIS PATIENTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The English language is still bad; the manuscript needs general revision and editing by a native English speaker [writer]. The aim of the study is not well defined. Authors should cover results of the radioiodine treatment, the outcome and comparison of the T3, T4 and TSH levels before and after the treatment. The author did not show table or flow chart including different thyroid abnormality which (as written) was found on CT, MRI and US, cumulative iodine activity per patient. How many courses per patient, the cumulative dose per patient etc... There is an error in the text, in the part Results indicating a significant relation ($p=0.604$) (table 3) which is not truth and, in general this relation is not clear. A P value of less than 0.05 should be considered significant. There is not a single table or flow chart showing the outcome of treatment, which is stated in the text only in percentages. The discussion is very poor and is NOT compared with study results of others. Authors stated their own results. In addition, they mentioned some literature data without clear connection with the aims of their own study.	This manuscript was already sent to a native language speaker and cost around 150 USD. What we should do after that? This is an abstract and introduction. All the comments required were mentioned in the text. Done and corrected in the text. It was written by mistake.