



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_15634
Title of the Manuscript:	Microcontroller Based Office Visitor Notifier System (MBONS)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper not only lacks novelty but also has not a scientific value. The contribution is just the description of a technical project (with a limited complexity)	I disagreed with your comment about lack of scientific value, you should know different between experimental research and applied technology. The paper is a cross cut of applied technology and technical innovation
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Table 1 and figures 3 and 4 and 5 can be completely removed	Table 1, figure 3,4&5 removed from the manuscript
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		