



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_15889
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF <i>Aspergillus niger</i> AND <i>Trichoderma viride</i> ON THE NUTRITIONAL COMPOSITION OF ALKALI PRETREATED GROUNDNUT HUSK.
Type of the Article	research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In main text use full name before abbreviations Say components used in PDA medium	Agreed, Potato Dextrose Agar is a powdery substance bought from chemical store, the components are not disclosed.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Introducing need more content Not use abbreviations in abstract 57 neubauber chamber Why sometimes you use citation of author 71 and sometimes not 64? Do a more robust study in bibliography only 12 works is too short. Explain more the tables.	I use works relevant to my study but I have added t more reference
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Maybe tukey test is more suitable to this work Formatting of tittle is ugly can change.	Am open to any suggestion, please.